



Transport Malta

EFB Regulations Combined Document and TM-CAD Guidance / Application form to Developing an EFB Scheme (Including Compliance Tables)

Note: Disclaimer: This document is meant as an aid for operators to ensure compliance with the applicable rules as part of the EFB Application process. If any differences or discrepancies exist between this document and the applicable EU regulations and EASA AMC/GM the latter prevail and must always be consulted.

CONTENTS

EASA EFB Regulations Combined Document and TM-CAD Guidance to Developing an EFB Scheme..1

Appendix 1

FORM

GM1.ORO.GEN.130(b)

AMC3.ORO.MLR.100

Annex I PART-DEF

GM1 Annex I

GM16 Annex I

CAT.GEN.MPA.141

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140

GM3 CAT.GEN.MPA.140

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141

GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)

AMC1CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)

AMC2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)

AMC3 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)

GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)

SPA.EFB.100

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)

AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)

AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)

AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)

GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)

GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)

Compliance Table..... 6

Application Form..... 10

ANNEX III PART-ORO

Changes Requiring Prior Approval..... 19

OMA 8.9 Procedures for use of type B EFB Applications.....19

ANNEX I Definitions

EFB Definitions.....20

Definitions.....21

Minor Failure Conditions.....21

ANNEX IV PART-CAT

Use of Electronic Flight Bags (EFB's)..... 22

Technical Prerequisites for the use of PED's..... 23

Categories of PED Definitions.....26

Cargo Tracking Devices..... 26

Applicable Definitions..... 27

Background Information..... 27

Hardware.....28

Viewable Stowage.....31

Application Classification.....32

Type A EFB Applications.....33

Type B EFB Applications.....34

Tactical Use.....35

HMI for type A Applications.....35

ANNEX V PART-SPA

Use of electronic flight bags (EFB's).....36

Suitability of the Hardware..... 37

Modification of EFB Systems..... 39

Operational Evaluation Test... 40

EFB Applications with European Technical Standard Order.....41

Final Operational Report..... 42

EASA Application Evaluation.....44

CONTENTS

ANNEX V PART-SPA

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(1)	RISK ASSESSMENT.....	44
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(2)	HMI ASSESSMENT AND HF CONSIDERATIONS.....	46
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB ADMINISTRATOR.....	48
AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL.....	49
AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	PROCEDURES.....	50
AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING.....	53
AMC5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	PERFORMANCE AND MASS AND BALANCE APPLICATIONS..	57
AMC6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	AMMD APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION.....	60
AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	USE COTS POSITION SOURCE.....	62
AMC8 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	CHART APPLICATIONS.....	63
AMC9 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	IN-FLIGHT WEATHER APPLICATIONS.....	63
AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT.	65
GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL.....	67
GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING.....	69
GM3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	SECURITY.....	70
GM4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	IN-FLIGHT WEATHER (IFW) APPLICATIONS.....	70
GM5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	USE OF COTS POSITION SOURCE PRACTICAL EVALUATION.	71
GM6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT.	71

INTRODUCTION

Commission Regulation (EU) No. 965/2012 establishes the generic requirements for the use of electronic flight bags for commercial air transport and new provisions supporting an operational approval for the use of electronic flight bag applications that have a failure condition classified as minor or below.

This Document provides implementation guidelines to affected CAT operators as intended by the regulation.

This document contains information for operators to use as part of the application submission for an EASA SPA EFB approved scheme / Manual. **This is NOT a template document as the Manual must reflect the individual operator's requirements and operating context.** Where specific additional documents are required to be included as part of the application process, they are highlighted either at the start of that individual rule or within the text of that regulation. The compliance table 1A, 1B and 1C must be completed and submitted with the Operations Manual.

- Appendix 1A, 1B, 1C – Compliance tables.
- Appendix 2 – Outlines the regulatory requirements for operators to follow for their EFB Scheme. **Operators must include the PART-SPA, and AMC requirement within their Operations Manual.**

GM material can be included in the scheme or an operator may choose to demonstrate compliance with the GM in their associated policy and procedures manuals.

Reference Documents –

- i. Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 of 5 October 2012 laying down technical requirements and administrative procedures related to air operations pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 2018/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4th July 2018 as amended.
- ii. Part-CAT Commercial Air Transport for Air Operations (Annex IV to Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012)
- iii. Subpart M of Part-SPA (SPA.EFB) (Use of Operational EFB's)
- iv. OAN Number: 01/19 Issued 15th January 2019

Key to highlighted text:

Areas for the operator to complete, or decide whether a specific requirement is applicable to their operation. In addition, this highlights parts of the manual where an operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies and procedures in order to comply with this implementing rule. These policies and procedures need to be documented and will be reviewed as part of the audit process.

Areas for the operator to submit additional documentation to TM-CAD demonstrating the necessary assessments have been conducted.

Operators have to show how and where the rule is implemented. The necessary OM references shall be inserted in the appropriate field. A copy of OMA Section 8 and other related controlled manual shall be attached to the checklist.

An on-site inspection by TM-CAD may be necessary to ensure that the stated policies and procedures are in place before PART-SPA Subpart M becomes applicable.

Applicability:

Applications from operators to use an EFB system will be considered on a case by case basis.

It will be necessary for the operator to propose the required changes to the Operations Manual and nominate an EFB Administrator. The EFB Administrator will need to receive appropriate training and have a good working knowledge of the proposed system hardware and operating system.

Mounting devices, Installed Resources for Portable EFB's and Installed EFB's require the CAD's Airworthiness approval. The CAD Airworthiness Section will evaluate all maintenance airworthiness factors and advise the Flight Operations Inspectorate when Airworthiness approval has been granted.

The compliance checklist must be signed by the responsible Nominated Person and by the Compliance Monitoring Manager. Failure to complete the compliance checklist will result in a delay in processing your application.

The completed compliance checklist Appendix 1 must be submitted together with the application form. The application form and matrix must be completed with the support of 965/2012. All relevant additional documentation as detailed in Appendix 1 must be submitted.

Appendix 1 Compliance Table

The operator should complete the 'Operator Manual (OM) Part A, Section 8.9 Para Reference' column, if a requirement is not applicable complete column with 'N/A'.

Upon completion, return Appendix 1 to your Flight Operations Inspector together with the updated OM Part A, Section 8.9 and other related controlled manuals in the usual way by uploading on NAS Server and notify TM-CAD on : airops.tm@transport.gov.mt and respective principal ops inspector. These documents will be reviewed and you will be advised if they meet with the EASA PART-SPA subpart M and applicable requirements.

ANNEX III PART-ORO

INSERT OM TYPE, EXACT
REFERENCE or N/A

GM1.ORO.GEN.130(b)	Changes Requiring Prior Approval
AMC3.ORO.MLR.100	OMA 8.9 Procedures for use of type B EFB Applications

ANNEX I Definitions

Annex I PART-DEF	EFB Definitions
GM1 Annex I	Definitions
GM16 Annex I	Minor Failure Conditions

ANNEX IV PART-CAT

CAT.GEN.MPA.141	Use of Electronic Flight Bags (EFB's)
AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140	Technical Prerequisites for the use of PED's
GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140	Categories of PED Definitions
GM3 CAT.GEN.MPA.140	Cargo Tracking Devices
GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141	Applicable Definitions
GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141	Background Information
AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)	Hardware
GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)	Viewable Stowage
AMC1CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)	Application Classification.
AMC2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)	Type A EFB Applications
AMC3 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)	Type B EFB Applications
GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)	Tactical Use
GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b)	HMI for type A Applications

ANNEX V PART-SPA

SPA.EFB.100	Use of electronic flight bags (EFB's)
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)	Suitability of the Hardware
AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)	Modification of EFB Systems
AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)	Operational Evaluation Test
AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)	EFB Applications with European Technical Standard Order
GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)	Final Operational Report
GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)	EASA Application Evaluation

ANNEX V PART-SPA

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(1)	RISK ASSESSMENT
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(2)	HMI ASSESSMENT AND HF CONSIDERATIONS
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB ADMINISTRATOR
AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL
AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	PROCEDURES
AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING
AMC5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	PERFORMANCE AND MASS AND BALANCE APPLICATIONS
AMC6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	AMMD APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION
AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	USE COTS POSITION SOURCE
AMC8 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	CHART APPLICATIONS
AMC9 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	IN-FLIGHT WEATHER APPLICATIONS
AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT
GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL
GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING
GM3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	SECURITY
GM4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	IN-FLIGHT WEATHER (IFW) APPLICATIONS
GM5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	USE OF COTS POSITION SOURCE PRACTICAL EVALUATION
GM6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT

Appendix 1B Compliance Table

The following are areas for the operator to submit additional documentation to TM-CAD demonstrating that the **necessary assessments** have been conducted as part of the approval process. **Please attach the supporting documentation in order to support the process of this application.**

ANNEX IV PART-CAT

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 (d)	Demonstrate that the radio frequency (RF) emissions (intentional or non intentional) are tolerated by aircraft systems
AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)(a)	Hardware not accessible in the flight crew compartment by the flight crew members or not removable by the flight crew members should be installed as 'certified equipment' covered by a type certificate (TC)
AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)(b)	The location of the display unit and the other EFB system elements should be assessed for their possible impact on egress requirements.
AMC1CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)(7)	Securing means based on vacuums (e.g. suction cups) should be demonstrated that they will still perform their intended function at operating cabin altitudes or in the event of a rapid decompression and if the EFB moves or is separated from its stowage, or if the viewable stowage is unsecured from the aircraft (as a result of turbulence, manoeuvring, or other action), it will not jam flight controls, damage flight deck equipment, or injure flight crew members.
AMC1CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a)(g)	Batteries : Refer to paragraph (f) of AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140.

ANNEX V PART-SPA

SPA.EFB.100 (b)(1)	Risk assessment related to the use of the EFB device that hosts the type B application and to the EFB type B application and its associated function(s)
SPA.EFB.100(b)(2)	HMI assessment of the EFB device and the EFB type B applications
SPA.EFB.100(b)(3)	EFB administration system and training requirements for the administration which shall include the operating the EFB; the management of changes to the EFB; the management of EFB data; EFB maintenance; and EFB security;
SPA.EFB.100(b)(4)	Suitability of the Hardware for use of type B applications

ANNEX V PART-SPA

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(d)	Environmental testing, in particular testing for rapid decompression that the EFB can operate reliably up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft.
AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(a)	Evaluation Plan for a minimum period of 6 months containing all elements as described in AMC.SPA.EFB.100(b)(a)(1.....7)
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(a)	Risk assessment for all type B EFB applications and for the related EFB hardware
AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(2)	Assessment of the human-machine interface (HMI), the installation, and aspects governing crew resource management (CRM) when using the EFB system for each kind of device and application installed on the EFB

Please complete the form electronically. The Policy & Guidance Material are found in Appendix 2. Appendix 1 is designed to elicit all the required information from those operators requiring approval for the use of an EFB System in place of, or as an alternative to paper-based information. The completed Compliance checklist and supporting documentation should be submitted to the Flight Operations Inspectorate. All references hereunder refer to Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 Subpart M of Annex V (Part-SPA)

SECTION I: OPERATOR DETAILS

Note: For AOC holders – company name, AOC number and e-mail address will suffice.

1(a) Name of Business or Trading Name(s):

1(b) Name of Individual (if applicable):

Title: Surname: Forename:

1(c) AOC Number (if applicable): MT

1(d) Address:

Postcode: Country:

1(e) Postal Address (if different from above):

Postcode: Country:

1(f) Contact Details:

Phone No: Mobile No:

Fax No: E-mail address:

SECTION II: GI 6 A-GG-CB TYPE (MARK AS APPLICABLE)

New EFB Application

(Applicable fees apply)

Modification to Current Assessment

Select accordingly:

New	Change	Aircraft Type
New	Change	Type B Software
New	Change	Device Hardware
New	Change	Viewable Stowage
	Change	EFB Administrator

SECTION III: AIRFRAME DETAILS**Aircraft Details**

	Aeroplane Type	Aeroplane Series	Registration Marks
1			
2			
3			

SECTION IV: HARDWARE TYPE / OPERATING SYSTEM (MARK AS APPLICABLE)

		MANUFACTURER AND MODEL	OPERATING SYSTEM
Installed EFB	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Portable EFB without Installed Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Portable EFB with Installed Resources (SEE BELOW)	<input type="checkbox"/>		
List the Installed Resources components to be used with EFB.			

NOTES –

An installed EFB is managed under the aircraft type design configuration. An EFB host platform installed in the aircraft and considered as an aircraft part, covered, thus, by the aircraft airworthiness approval.

Portable EFB's are controlled PED's. An expanded definition of a portable EFB is found in GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140.

Portable EFB's with Installed Resources are subject to airworthiness approval. Installed Resources constitute those elements that are part of the certified aircraft configuration with the intended function to mount the EFB to the aircraft and/or connect other systems.

A one time initial fee of Euro 2000 is applicable for new EFB application

SECTION V: INSTALLED SOFTWARE (MARK AS APPLICABLE)

		APPLICATION NAME
Type A	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Type B	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SECTION VI: EFB ADMINISTRATOR

Name & surname of EFB Administrator			
Contact details:	Phone No:	Mobile No:	
	Fax No:	E-mail address:	

SECTION VII: Operational Evaluation Test

The operator is required to conduct an operational evaluation test only after the evaluation of the application and receipt of authorisation from TM-CAD. The operator shall inform TM CAD of the intention to commence the operational evaluation test. It should be noted that sufficient time should be given to TM CAD to review the submitted Documentation.

The notification of the test should at least include:

- Starting date of the operational evaluation test;
- Duration;
- Aircraft Involved;

At the end of the operational evaluation test, the operator shall submit a report verifying that the elements covered in Appendix 1 have been satisfied.

Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup

The paper backup shall initially be retained as a backup. The operational evaluation test should consist of an in-service proving period no longer than six months. Operators wishing to reduce this proving period shall justify this by submitting further information.

SECTION VIII: Final Operational report

At the end of the Operational Evaluation Test, the operator should produce and retain a final operational report, which summaries all the activities conducted and the means of compliance used, supporting the operational use of the EFB system. Once the final report and Documentation are approved by TM-CAD the use of EFB will be implemented as part of the Operator Specifications.

Submissions and Enquiries

Address for submissions:
Civil Aviation Directorate
Flight Operations
Inspectorate Transport Malta
Malta Transport Centre,
Pantar Road,
Lija LJA 2021
MALTA

Contact details for enquiries:
Tel: +356 25555635
Fax: +356 25555634

APPLICATION FOR ELECTRONIC FLIGHT BAG

CIVIL AVIATION DIRECTORATE Flight Operations Inspectorate

Transport Malta, Malta Transport Centre, Pantar Road, Lija LJA 2021, Tel: +356 2555 5635, Fax: +356 2555 5634; aiops.tm@transport.gov.mt; www.transport.gov.mt

Airworthiness Approval Compliance Checklist Appendix 1C

Installed EFB systems and EFB Installed resources and mounting devices require airworthiness approval.

Insert Manual Reference

Additional Documentation

	Airworthiness Approval
1	Installed EFB <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Approval of Installation and Hardware Aircraft Type Definition, Major/Minor Modification, Supplemental Type Certificate, Service Bulletin).
2	Installed Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Installed resources such as an installed remote display, a control device or docking station.
3	Certification documentation <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ AFM Supplement for the installed EFB and/or Installed Resources.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Instructions for Continued Airworthiness■ Aircraft Maintenance Programme■ Installed EFB

Declaration

We confirm that the application and compliance checklists for both Installed EFB and Portable EFB conform to the information stated within this application and all applicable regulations.

RESPONSIBLE NP NAME:

SIGNATURE: DATE:

COMPLIANCE MANAGER NAME:

SIGNATURE: DATE:

For Civil Aviation Directorate use only

Airworthiness Inspectorate	Flight Operations Inspectorate
<p>Portable EFB with Installed Resources <input type="checkbox"/> Installed EFB <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Conformity with Initial and Continuing Airworthiness Requirements:</p> <p>N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Name:</p> <p>Signature: Date:</p>	<p>EFB evaluation completed on date:</p> <p>EFB System is capable of performing its intended functions. The list of documents, software applications, training programmes EFB administration procedures, system security and quality assurance oversight are as stated in the approved Operations Manual in accordance with applicable regulations.</p> <p>Name:</p> <p>Signature: Date:</p>

Data Protection Notice

All data collected in this form is processed in accordance with the Privacy Laws that include General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Chapter 586 of the Laws of Malta (Data Protection Act). The data provided may be granted to other Public Authorities and/or Government Departments as required and permitted by Maltese Law. Transport Malta of Triq Pantar, Lija, Malta LJA2021 is the data controller for the purpose of the privacy laws. The Privacy Notice attached with this application sets out the way in which personal information/data is collected and processed by Transport Malta, as well as the steps that are taken to protect such information.

APPLICATION FOR ELECTRONIC FLIGHT BAG

CIVIL AVIATION DIRECTORATE

Flight Operations Inspectorate

Transport Malta, Malta Transport Centre, Pantar Road, Lija LJA 2021, Tel: +356 2555 5635, Fax: +356 2555 5634; airops.tm@transport.gov.mt; www.transport.gov.mt

Data Protection Privacy Notice

Transport Malta of Triq Pantar, Lija, Malta LJA2021 is the Data Controller for the purpose of the Data Protection Act CAP. 586 and General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (GDPR). This Privacy Notice sets out the way in which we collect and process your Personal Information, as well as the steps we take to protect such information.

1. The information we collect and how we use it

- 1.1. From this application, Transport Malta collects different types of information; which information is that required by Law and is used explicitly for the service requested through this form. It is to be noted that if the required information is not provided the said application cannot be processed.
- 1.2. The primary purpose for collecting this information is mainly to process the application for the requested service, however, your Personal Information may also be used for related purposes that amongst others include: sending notifications, renewal of licence/certificate after expiry period, and for the provision of information with regards to any legislative amendments which may affect the services offered to you.

2. To whom we disclose information

- 2.1. This information will be solely used for the reasons detailed above. However there may be cases where personal information is shared with the following third parties for reasons listed below:
 - Any law enforcement body that may have any reasonable requirement to access your personal information;
 - Third party entities that may be entrusted by Transport Malta to process part of or all the data related to this service.

3. Data Subject Rights

- 3.1. With respect to your privacy rights, Transport Malta is obliged to provide you with reasonable access to the Personal Data that you have provided to us. Your other principal rights under data protection law are:
 - a. the right for information;
 - b. the right to access;
 - c. the right to rectification;
 - d. the right to erasure;
 - e. the right to restrict processing;
 - f. the right to object to processing;
 - g. the right to data portability;
 - h. the right to complain to a supervisory authority; and
 - i. the right to withdraw consent.

- 3.2. If you wish to access or amend any Personal Data we hold about you, or to request that we delete any information about you, you may contact us by sending a request to dataprotection.tm@transport.gov.mt. We will acknowledge your request within seventy-two (72) hours and will do our utmost to handle it promptly. We will respond to these requests within a month, with a possibility to extend this period for particularly complex requests in accordance with Applicable Law.
- 3.3. At any time, you may object to the processing of your Personal Data, on legitimate grounds, except if otherwise permitted by applicable law.
- 3.4. In accordance with Applicable Law, we reserve the right to withhold personal data if disclosing it would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of others. Moreover, we reserve the right to charge a fee for complying with such requests if they are deemed manifestly unfounded or excessive.

4. Retention period

- 4.1. Personal data will be retained for not more than 3 months from date of application should the application not be submitted complete or is rejected.
- 4.2. Once the service related to your application is provided, we will retain your information for as long as needed to provide you with our service, or to comply with our legal obligations, resolve disputes and enforce our agreements.

Security

- 5.1. We take appropriate security measures to protect against loss, misuse and unauthorized access, alteration, disclosure, or destruction of your information. Additionally, steps will also be taken to ensure the ongoing confidentiality, integrity, availability, and resilience of systems and services processing personal information, and will restore the availability and access to information in a timely manner in the event of a physical or technical incident. All information gathered is kept confidential and is used solely for the service requested through this application form.
- 5.2. If we learn of a security systems breach, we will inform you of the occurrence of the breach in accordance with applicable law.

5. Governing Law

All data collected in this form is processed in accordance with the Privacy Laws that include General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Chapter 586 of the Laws of Malta (Data Protection Act).

7. Data Protection Officer

- 7.1. Transport Malta has a Data Protection Officer ("DPO") who is responsible for matters relating to privacy and data protection. The DPO can be reached at the above address or by email: dataprotection.tm@transport.gov.mt

8. Contacting us

- 8.1. Please address any questions, comments and requests regarding the application process to civil.aviation@transport.gov.mt

Part - ORO

GM1 ORO.GEN.130(b) is amended as follows:

GM1 ORO.GEN.130(b) Changes related to an AOC holder

CHANGES REQUIRING PRIOR APPROVAL

(k) mass and balance:

Standard masses for load items other than standard masses for passengers and checked baggage;

2. **AMC3 ORO.MLR.100** is amended as follows:

AMC3 ORO.MLR.100 Operations manual — General CONTENTS — CAT OPERATIONS

A	GENERAL/BASIC	operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies
0	ADMINISTRATION AND CONTROL OF OPERATIONS MANUAL OPERATING PROCEDURES	
8	8.9	Procedures related to the use of type B EFB applications:

Annex I is amended as follows:

operator is required to
document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

- (a) the following points (42a), (42b) and (42c) are inserted:

‘(42a) “EFB application” means a software application installed on an EFB host platform that provides one or more specific operational functions which support flight operations;

(42b) “EFB host platform” means the hardware equipment in which the computing capabilities and basic software reside, including the operating system and the input/output software;

(42c) “EFB system” means the hardware equipment (including any battery, connectivity provisions, input/output components) and software (including databases and the operating system) needed to support the intended EFB application(s);’

- (d) the following point (44a) is inserted:

‘(44a) “electronic flight bag (EFB)” means an electronic information system, comprised of equipment and applications for flight crew, which allows for the storing, updating, displaying and processing of EFB functions to support flight operations or duties;’

- (e) the following point (69a) is inserted:

‘(69a) “human–machine interface (HMI)” means a component of certain devices that is capable of handling human–machine interactions. The interface consists of hardware and software that allow user inputs to be interpreted and processed by machines or systems that, in turn, provide the required results to the user;’

- (g) point (78a) is replaced by the following:

‘(78a) “minor failure condition” means a failure condition that would not significantly reduce aircraft safety, and which involves flight crew actions that are well within their capabilities;’

- (i) the following points (96a) and (96b) are inserted:

‘(96a) “portable EFB” means a portable EFB host platform, used on the flight deck, which is not part of the configuration of the certified aircraft;

(96b) “portable electronic device (PED)” means any kind of electronic device, typically but not limited to consumer electronics, brought on board the aircraft by crew members, passengers, or as part of the cargo, that is not included in the configuration of the certified aircraft. It includes all equipment that is able to consume electrical energy. The electrical energy can be provided from internal sources such as batteries (chargeable or non-rechargeable) or the devices may also be connected to specific aircraft power sources;’

- (j) the following points (120a) and (120b) are inserted:

‘(120a) “type A EFB application” means an EFB application whose malfunction or misuse has no safety effect;

(120b) “type B EFB application” means an EFB application:

(a) whose malfunction or misuse is classified as minor failure condition or below; and

(b) which neither replaces nor duplicates any system or functionality required by airworthiness regulations, airspace requirements, or operational rules;’

1. **GM1 Annex I Definition is amended as follows:**

GM1 Annex I Definitions

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED IN THE ACCEPTABLE MEANS OF COMPLIANCE AND GUIDANCE MATERIAL

- (ba) 'Airport moving map display (AMMD)' means a software application that displays an airport map on a display device and uses data from a navigation source to depict the aircraft current position on this map while the aircraft is on the ground.
- (fa) 'Controlled portable electronic device (C-PED)' means a PED subject to administrative control by the operator that uses it. This includes, inter alia, tracking the allocation of the devices to specific aircraft or persons and ensuring that no unauthorised changes are made to the hardware, software, or databases. C-PEDs can be assigned to the category of non-intentional transmitters or T-PEDs.
- (fb) 'EFB installed resources' means certified EFB hardware components external to the EFB host platform itself, such as input/output components (installed remote displays, keyboards, pointing devices, switches, etc.) or a docking station.
- (fc) 'EFB mounting device' means an aircraft certified part that secures a portable or installed EFB, or EFB system components.
- (fd) 'EFB system supplier' means the company responsible for developing, or for having developed, the EFB system or part of it.
- (na) 'Installed EFB' means an EFB host platform installed in an aircraft, capable of hosting type A and/or type B EFB applications. It may also host certified applications. It is an aircraft part, and, is therefore, covered by the aircraft airworthiness approval.
- (ta) 'Miscellaneous (non-EFB) software applications' means non-EFB applications that support function(s) not directly related to the tasks performed by the flight crew in the aircraft.
- (ac) 'Transmitting PED (T-PED)' means a portable electronic device (PED) that has intentional radio frequency (RF) transmission capabilities
- (acd) 'Vertical navigation' means a method of navigation which permits aircraft operation on a vertical flight profile using altimetry sources, external flight path references, or a combination of these.
- (ae) 'Viewable stowage' means a non-certified device that is attached to the flight crew member (e.g. with a kneeboard) or to an existing aircraft part (e.g. using suction cups), and is intended to hold charts or to hold low-mass portable electronic devices that are viewable by the flight crew members at their assigned duty stations.

A new GM16 Annex I is added

GM16 Annex I Definitions

MINOR FAILURE CONDITION

Minor failure conditions may include, for example, a slight reduction in safety margins or functional capabilities, a slight increase in crew workload, such as routine flight plan changes, or some physical discomfort to passengers or cabin crew. Further guidance can be found in AMC 25.1309.

Minor failure conditions are not considered to be unsafe conditions in accordance with AMC 21.A.3B(b)

Annex IV PART-CAT is amended as follows:

operator is required to
document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

- (a) the following point CAT.GEN.MPA.141 is inserted:

'CAT.GEN.MPA.141 Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

- (a) Where an EFB is used on board an aircraft, the operator shall ensure that it does not adversely affect the performance of the aircraft systems or equipment, or the ability of the flight crew member to operate the aircraft.
- (b) The operator shall not use a type B EFB application unless it is approved in accordance with Subpart M of Annex V (Part-SPA).';
- (b) in point CAT.POL.MAB.105, point (b) is replaced by the following:
- '(b) Where mass and balance data and documentation is generated by a computerised mass and balance system, the operator shall:
- (1) verify the integrity of the output data to ensure that the data are within AFM limitations; and
 - (2) specify the instructions and procedures for its use in its operations manual.';

1. **AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 is amended as follows:**

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 Portable electronic devices

TECHNICAL PREREQUISITES FOR THE USE OF PEDS

(d) Demonstration of electromagnetic compatibility

(1) EMI assessment at aircraft level

The means to **demonstrate that the radio frequency (RF) emissions (intentional or non-intentional) are tolerated by aircraft systems** should be as follows:

(i) to address front door coupling susceptibility for any kind of PEDs:

(A) EUROCAE, 'Guidance for the use of Portable Electronic Devices (PEDs) on Board Aircraft', ED-130A / RTCA DO-363 'Guidance for the Development of Portable Electronic Devices (PED) Tolerance for Civil Aircraft', Section 5; or

(B) EUROCAE, 'Aircraft Design and Certification for Portable Electronic Device (PED) Tolerance', ED-239 / RTCA DO-307A, Section 4;

The use of RTCA, 'Guidance on Allowing Transmitting Portable Electronic Devices (T-PEDs) on Aircraft', DO-294C (or later revisions), Appendix 5C; or RTCA DO-307 'Aircraft Design and Certification for Portable Electronic Device (PED) Tolerance', (including Change 1 or later revisions), Section 4 may be acceptable.

(ii) To address back door coupling susceptibility for T-PEDs:

(A) EUROCAE, 'Guidance for the use of portable electronic devices (PEDs) on Board Aircraft', ED-130A/RTCA DO-363, Section 6; or

(B) EUROCAE, 'Aircraft Design and Certification for Portable Electronic Device (PED) Tolerance', ED-239 / RTCA DO-307A, Section 3; or

The use of EUROCAE, 'Guidance for the use of Portable Electronic Devices (PEDs) on Board Aircraft', ED-130, Annex 6; or RTCA DO-294C (or later revisions), Appendix 6D; or RTCA DO-307 (including Change 1 or later revisions), Section 3 may be acceptable.

(2) **Alternative EMI assessment of controlled PEDs (C-PEDs)**

(i) To address front door coupling:

(A) C-PEDs should comply with the levels as defined by:

(a) EUROCAE/RTCA, 'Environmental conditions and test procedures for airborne equipment', ED-14D/RTCA DO-160D (or later revisions), Section 21, Category M, for operation in the passenger compartment and the flight crew compartment; and

(b) EUROCAE ED-14ED/RTCA DO-160ED (or later revisions), Section 21, Category H, for operation in areas not accessible during the flight.

(B) If the C-PEDs are electronic flight bags used in the flight crew compartment and if the DO-160 testing described in (A) identifies inadequate margins for interference or has not been performed, it is necessary to test the C-PED in each aircraft model in which it will be operated. The C-PED should be tested in operation on the aircraft to show that no interference with aircraft equipment occurs. Credit may be given to other aircraft that are similarly equipped (meaning in particular that they contain the same avionics equipment) of the same make and model as the one tested.

(ii) To address back door coupling susceptibility for C-PEDs with transmitting capabilities, the EMI assessment described in (1)(ii) should be performed.

(3) **Alternative EMI assessment of cargo tracking devices**

In cases where a transmitting function is automatically deactivated in a cargo tracking device that (is a T-PED), the unit should be qualified for safe operation on board the aircraft. One of the following methods should be considered to be acceptable as evidence of its for safe operation:

(i) A type-specific safety assessment, including failure mode and effects analysis, has been performed at the aircraft level. The main purpose of the assessment should be to determine the worst hazards and to demonstrate that the an adequate design assurance levels of the relevant hardware and software components of the cargo tracking device are adequate.

- (ii) The high intensity radiated field (HIRF) certification of the aircraft has been performed, i.e. the aircraft type has been certified after 1987 and meets the appropriate special condition. In such a case, the operator should ensure that the following conditions are met:
 - (A) The tracking device:
 - (a) features an automated and prolonged radio suspension in flight using multiple modes of redundancy; and
 - (b) has been verified in the aircraft environment to ensure deactivation of the transmitting function in flight.
 - (B) The emissions from the tracking device comply with the levels as defined by EUROCAE ED-14E/RTCA DO-160E (or later revisions), Section 21, Category H.
 - (CD) The operator should ensure that the following documents are provided by the tracking device manufacturer
 - (a) a declaration from the manufacturer identifying the device and confirming that the device and its deactivation function comply with the requirement (A) and (B) above;
 - (b) a declaration showing that of stringent robust design and production controls are in place during the manufacturing of the tracking device ;
 - (c) a declaration of conformity and technical documentation showing compliance with the European Norms (EN), regulating the transmitter characteristics of the tracking device or its transmission module; and
 - (d) an the EMI assessment report documenting compliance with point (B) above.

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 is amended as follows:

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 Portable electronic devices

DEFINITIONS

(a) Categories of PEDs

(b) Cargo tracking device

A cargo tracking device is a PED attached to or included in airfreight (e.g. in or on containers, pallets, parcels or baggage). Cargo tracking devices can be assigned to the category of unintentional transmitters or transmitting PEDs (T-PEDs). If the device is a T-PED, it should comply with the European Norms (EN) for transmissions.

(c) Definition of the switched-off status

Many PEDs are not completely disconnected from the internal power source when switched off. The switching function may leave some remaining functionality, e.g. data storage, timer, clock, etc. These devices can be considered switched off when in the deactivated status. The same applies to devices having no transmitting capability that are operated by coin cells without further deactivation capability, e.g. wrist watches.

(d) Electromagnetic interference (EMI)

The two classes of EMI to be addressed can be described as follows:

- (1) Front door coupling is the possible disturbance to an aircraft system that is received by the antenna of the system and is mainly in the frequency band used by the system. Any PED internal oscillator has the potential to radiate low-level signals in the aviation frequency bands. Due to this disturbance, especially the instrument landing system (ILS) and the VHF omnirange (VOR) navigation system may indicate erroneous information.
- (2) Back door coupling is the possible disturbance of aircraft systems by electromagnetic fields generated by transmitters at a level which could exceed at short distance (i.e. within the aircraft) the electromagnetic field level used for the aircraft system certification testing. This disturbance may then lead to system malfunctions.

3. **GM3 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 is amended as follows:**

GM3 CAT.GEN.MPA.140 Portable electronic devices

EVALUATION OF CARGO TRACKING DEVICES

(c) Multiple modes of redundancy

Multiple modes of redundancy means that the device is designed with a minimum of two independent means to turn it off completely, turn off the cellular or mobile functions, or a combination of both when airborne. These independent methods should use different sources to identify that the aircraft is in flight, for example, a cargo-tracking device may be designed to sense rapid altitude changes and acceleration to determine when to turn off cellular transmissions. Redundant sources of the same information, such as two vertical accelerometers, should not be considered independent.

4. **A new GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141 is added:**

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141 Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of EFB use, the following definitions apply:

- (a) Aircraft administrative communications (AAC):
AAC are defined by ICAO as non-safety communications that are used by aeronautical operating agencies and are related to the business aspects of operating their flights and transport services. These communications are used for a variety of purposes, such as flight and ground transportation, bookings, deployment of crew, and aircraft or any other logistical purposes that maintain or enhance the efficiency of overall flight operations. AAC data links receive/transmit information that includes, but is not limited to, the support of EFB applications.
- (b) Aeronautical operational control (AOC):
AOC communications are defined by ICAO as communications required for the exercise of authority over the initiation, continuation, diversion or termination of flight for safety, regularity, and efficiency reasons.

5. **A new GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141 is added:**

GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141 Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Further related information on EFB hardware and EFB applications can be found in the following documents:

- (a) EASA AMC 20-25, Airworthiness considerations for EFBs;
- (b) EASA CS-25, Book 2, AMC Subpart F, AMC 25.1309, System Design and Analysis;
- (c) EUROCAE ED-14D/DO-160D (or later revisions) Environmental Conditions and Test Procedures for Airborne Equipment;
- (d) EASA ETSO-C165A, Electronic Map Systems for Graphical Depiction of Aircraft Position;
- (e) FAA AC 120-76(C), Authorization for an Electronic Flight Bag Program;
- (f) FAA AC 120-78, Electronic Signatures, Electronic Recordkeeping, and Electronic Manuals;
- (g) ICAO Doc 10020, Manual of Electronic Flight Bags (EFBs).

6. A new AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a) is added:

operator is required to document the type of hardware and viewable stowage used for each aircraft type as listed on the Ops Specs

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

HARDWARE

Before using a portable EFB, the following considerations should be assessed by the operator:

(a) General

A portable EFB is a portable electronic device (PED) and may host type A and/or type B EFB applications. In addition, it may host miscellaneous software applications. Portable EFBs are controlled PEDs (C-PEDs).

A portable EFB should be capable of operation autonomously inside and outside the aircraft.

The mass, dimensions, shape, and position of the portable EFB should not compromise flight safety.

The power supply of a portable EFB may be provided by aircraft sources through an adequate power source.

If mounted or stowed, a portable EFB should be easily removable from its mounting device/viewable stowage device or attached to it, without the use of tools by the flight crew. Any locking devices used to prevent theft should be unlocked during flight.

A portable EFB may be part of a system that contains EFB-installed resources which are part of the certified aircraft configuration. The intended functions of the EFB-installed components may be to mount the EFB onto the aircraft and/or connect it to other systems.

Portable EFBs may be used in all phases of the flight if secured to a certified mount or securely attached to a viewable stowage device in a manner that allows its use.

Portable EFBs that do not meet the above characteristics should be stowed during critical phases of the flight.

However, this does not preclude a flight crew from using a portable EFB during restricted portions of the critical phases of flight to complete a task related to the safety of the flight on the condition that the device is continuously handheld and used only during a short period of time. When the task is completed, the device should be stowed again.

Any EFB component that is either not accessible in the flight crew compartment by the flight crew members or not removable by the flight crew members should be installed as 'certified equipment' covered by a type certificate (TC), a change to a TC or a supplemental (S)TC.

(b) Characteristics and placement of the EFB display

For a portable EFB, the considerations on the location of the display proposed below should apply to the proposed location of the display when the EFB is in use.

The EFB display and any other elements of the EFB system should be placed in such a way that they do not unduly impair the flight crew's external view during any of the phases of the flight. Equally, they should not impair the view of or access to any flight-crew-compartment control or instrument.

The location of the display unit and the other EFB system elements should be assessed for their possible impact on egress requirements.

When the EFB is in use (intended to be viewed or controlled), its display should be within 90 degrees on either side of each flight crew member's line of sight.

Glare and reflection on the EFB display should not interfere with the normal duties of the flight crew.

(c) Power source

If the aircraft is equipped with electrical power outlet(s) in the flight crew compartment, the operator should ensure that their certified characteristics are compatible with the intended use of the EFB system. The powering or charging of the EFB system should be compatible with the electrical characteristics of the power supplied by the outlets in terms of power consumption, voltage, frequency, etc., not to impair the EFB system or other aircraft systems.

(d) EFB data connectivity

Portable EFBs may have data connectivity to aircraft systems, either wired or wireless, provided that the connections (hardware and software for data connection provisions) and adequate interface protection devices are incorporated into the aircraft type design.

A portable EFB may receive any data from aircraft systems, but data transmission from EFBs should be limited to aircraft systems that have been certified for this intended purpose (refer to AMC 20-25 for more details).

(e) External connecting cables (to avionics and/or power sources)

When external cables are used to connect a portable EFB to the aircraft systems and/or to a power source, the following should apply:

- (1) cables should not hang loosely in a way that compromises task performance and safety; flight crew members should be able to easily secure the cables out of the way during operations (e.g. by using cable tether straps); and
- (2) cables should be of sufficient length so that they do not obstruct the use of any movable device (e.g. flight controls, switches, seats, windows) in the flight crew compartment.

(f) Electromagnetic interference (EMI) demonstrations

See paragraph (b), (c) and (d) of AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140.

The EMI demonstration should cover any cable connected to the EFB as well as non-certified power chargers.

(g) Batteries

See paragraph (f) of AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.140.

(h) Viewable stowage

The evaluation of the viewable stowage should be performed for a given location in the flight deck. This location should be documented and this information should be part of the EFB policy.

The viewable stowage should not be positioned in such a way that it creates significant obstruction to the flight crew members' view or hinders physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays and/or aircraft safety equipment, flight crew ingress or egress. The viewable stowage as positioned should allow the flight crew to retain a sufficiently extensive, clear, and undistorted view, to enable them to safely perform any manoeuvres within the operating limitations of the aircraft, including taxiing, take-off, approach, and landing. The design of the viewable stowage should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered:

- (1) The viewable stowage and associated mechanisms should not impede the flight crew members in the performance of any task (whether normal, abnormal, or emergency) associated with operating any aircraft system;
- (2) When the viewable stowage is used to secure an EFB display, it should be able to be easily locked in position. If necessary, the selection of positions should be adjustable enough to accommodate a range of flight crew member preferences. In addition, the range of available movement should accommodate the expected range of users' physical abilities (i.e. anthropometric constraints). Locking mechanisms should be of a low-wear type that will minimise slippage even after extended periods of normal use;
- (3) The viewable stowage should be designed and installed so that it will sustain all foreseeable conditions relative to the flight environment (e.g. severe turbulence, hard landings) while retaining its structural integrity and without becoming detached. The use of restraints of the device should be considered where appropriate;
- (4) A provision should be available to secure or lock the device in a position out of the way of flight crew operations when not in use. When stowed, the device and its securing mechanism should not intrude into the flight crew compartment space to the extent that they cause either visual or physical obstruction of flight controls/displays and/or ingress/egress routes;
- (5) Possible mechanical interference issues of the viewable stowage, either on the side panel (side stick controller), or on the control yoke, in terms of full and free movement under all operating conditions and non-interference with buckles, etc., should be prevented;
- (6) Adequate means should be provided (e.g. hardware or software) to shut down the portable EFB when its controls are not accessible by the flight crew members when strapped in the normal seated position; and
- (7) The viewable stowage device should be easily removable from the aircraft without the use of tools.

Some types of means for securing viewable stowage may have characteristics that degrade noticeably with ageing or due to various environmental factors. In that case, the documentation should include procedures (e.g. crew procedures, checks, or maintenance actions) to ensure that the stowage characteristics remain within acceptable limits for the proposed operations. Securing means based on vacuums (e.g. suction cups) have holding capacities that decrease with pressure. It should be demonstrated that they will still perform their intended function at operating cabin altitudes or in the event of a rapid decompression.

In addition, it should be demonstrated that if the EFB moves or is separated from its stowage, or if the viewable stowage is unsecured from the aircraft (as a result of turbulence, manoeuvring, or other action), it will not jam flight controls, damage flight deck equipment, or injure flight crew members.

The risks associated with an EFB fire should be minimised by the design and location of the viewable stowage.

7. A new GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a) is added:

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

VIEWABLE STOWAGE

- (a) Viewable stowage devices have been involved in several reported incidents worldwide. The following issues should be considered by the operator when assessing the compliance of a viewable stowage device:
- (1) The EFB or EFB stowage interfering with controls (e.g. side sticks, tillers, PTT switches, etc.);
 - (2) Stowage or EFB cables interfering with the opening of windows;
 - (3) Stowage or EFB cables interfering with the access to oxygen masks;
 - (4) The EFB falling during take-off, cruise, or landing, interfering with flight controls, disengaging the autopilot, or hurting the flight crew; and
 - (5) Suction cups detaching following a loss of pressurisation, adding to the crew's workload.
- (b) Guidance on the safety, reliability and usability of different viewable stowage solutions and on the related operating conditions can be found in a study published by the FAA¹.
With regard to the specific example of suction cups, the following means of mitigation are recommended:
- (1) The suction cups and the surface to which they will be attached should be properly cleaned with isopropyl alcohol or aircraft window cleaner prior to attachment of the suction cups;
 - (2) Attachment surfaces should be substantially smooth and flat;
 - (3) Periodic cleaning and reattachment should be performed, as appropriate, for the conditions of the environment in which they are used (dusty, etc.);
 - (4) Suction cups should not be left attached to the aircraft windscreen for long periods of time;
 - (5) Suction cups should be replaced every 6 months at a minimum, and, more often in extreme environments.

AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

APPLICATION CLASSIFICATION

An EFB software application is an application that is not part of the configuration of the certified aircraft and is installed on an EFB system to support flight operations. The classification of the applications, based on their respective safety effects, is intended to provide clear divisions between such applications and, therefore, between the assessment processes applied to each.

For the purpose of the following process, 'malfunction or misuse' means any failure, malfunction of the application, or design-related human errors that can reasonably be expected in service.

Determination of an application type:

AMC2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) and AMC3 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) should be used to justify a classification, provided that the application does not feature design or functional novelties that introduce new forms of crew interaction or unusual procedures.

An application may also be recognised as a type A or type B EFB application through an appropriate approval (e.g. ETSO authorisation) granted by EASA.

If an application is not listed in AMC2 or AMC3 to CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b), presents a high degree of novelty, or is not covered by an EASA approval (e.g. ETSO authorisation), the classification should be established using the definitions and criteria provided hereafter.

As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the following list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or type B EFB applications. Applications that:

- display information which is tactically used by the flight crew members to check, control or deduce the aircraft position or trajectory, either to follow the intended navigation route or to avoid adverse weather, obstacles or traffic during the flight;

- display information which may be directly used by the flight crew members to assess the real-time status of aircraft critical and essential systems, as a replacement for existing installed avionics, and/or to manage aircraft critical and essential systems following a failure;

- send data to air traffic services;

are not eligible to be classified as either type A or type B EFB applications.

Then, the next steps in this process should be to:

- identify any failure conditions resulting from potential losses of function or malfunction (with either detected or undetected erroneous outputs), taking into consideration any relevant factors (e.g. aircraft/system failures, operational or environmental conditions) and any established mitigation (e.g. flight crew procedures, flight crew training) that would intensify or alleviate the effects; and

classify the application as follows, based on the assessment of the safety effect of each failure condition:

- if there is no failure condition that may have a safety effect, the application should be classified as a type A EFB application;

- if one or several failure conditions with a safety effect that is limited to minor are identified, the application should be classified as type B;

- (iii) if more severe failure conditions are identified, the application should not be eligible for classification as an EFB application.

Software applications with failure conditions that are classified as more severe than minor are ineligible as type A or type B EFB applications.

Notes:

- The severity of the failure conditions linked to displaying a function that already exists in the certified type design, or that is already authorised through an ETSO, and used with same concept of operation (considering the intended function but also operational means of mitigation), should be considered in the assessment of the severity of the failure condition of an application and cannot be less than the severity already assessed for this function.
- The data resulting from this process may be reused by the operators in the context of the EFB risk assessment process.

(b) Miscellaneous software applications

Miscellaneous software applications are applications that support function(s) that are not directly related to operations conducted by the flight crew on the aircraft. Miscellaneous software applications are not considered to be EFB applications for the purposes of this AMC.

Examples of miscellaneous software applications are web browsers (not used for operational purposes), email clients, picture management applications, or even applications used by ground crews (e.g. for maintenance purposes).

9. A new AMC2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) is added:

operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

TYPICAL TYPE A EFB APPLICATIONS

The following EFB application should be considered type A EFB applications:

(a) browsers that display:

- (1) the certificates and other documents which are required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations, including digitally created documents such as:
 - (i) the certificate of registration;
 - (ii) the certificate of airworthiness (CofA);
 - (iii) the noise certificate, and its English translation if applicable;
 - (iv) the air operator certificate (AOC);
 - (v) the operations specifications relevant to the aircraft type, issued with the AOC;
 - (vi) the third-party liability insurance certificate(s); and
 - (vii) the aircraft continuing airworthiness records, including the technical log (flight crew view thereof);
- (2) some manuals and additional information and forms which are required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations such as:

- (i) notifications of special categories of passenger (SCPs) and special loads; and
- (ii) passenger and cargo manifests, if applicable; and
- (3) other information within the operator's aircraft library such as:
 - (i) airport diversion policy guidance, including a list of special designated airports and/or approved airports with emergency medical service (EMS) support facilities;
 - (ii) maintenance manuals;
 - (iii) emergency response guidance for aircraft incidents involving dangerous goods (see ICAO Doc 9481-AN/928);
 - (iv) aircraft parts manuals;
 - (v) service bulletins/published airworthiness directives, etc.;
 - (vi) current fuel prices at various airports;
 - (vii) trip scheduling and bid lists;
 - (viii) passenger information requests;
 - (ix) examiner and flight instructor records; and
 - (x) flight crew currency requirements;
- (b) interactive applications for crew rest calculations in the framework of flight time limitations;
- (c) interactive forms to comply with the reporting requirements of the competent authority and the operator;
- (d) applications that make use of aircraft administrative communications (AAC) to collect, process and then disseminate data that has no effect on the safe operation of an aircraft.

10. A new AMC3 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) is added:

operator is required to document a list and describe each type B application.

AMC3 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

TYPICAL TYPE B EFB APPLICATIONS

The following EFB applications should be considered type B EFB applications, provided that they do not feature design or functional novelties that introduce new forms of crew interaction or unusual procedures:

- (a) Document browsers that display the manuals and additional information and forms required to be carried by regulations and that are necessary for the safe operation of the aircraft, such as:
 - (1) the operations manual (including the minimum equipment list (MEL) and configuration deviation list (CDL));
 - (2) the aircraft flight manual, or equivalent document;
 - (3) the operational flight plan;
 - (4) meteorological information with graphical interpretation;
 - (5) air traffic services (ATS) flight plan;
 - (6) notices to airmen (NOTAMs) and aeronautical information service (AIS) briefing documentation.

- (b) Electronic aeronautical chart applications including en-route, area, approach, and airport surface maps.
 - (c) Airport moving map display (AMMD) applications.
 - (d) Applications that make use of the aeronautical operational control (AOC) communications to collect, process and then disseminate operational data.
 - (f) Aircraft performance calculation applications that use algorithmic data or that perform calculations using software algorithms to provide aircraft performance data such as:
 - (1) take-off, en-route, approach and landing, missed approach and other phases of flight, performance calculations providing limiting masses, distances, times and/or speeds, etc.;
 - (2) power settings, including reduced take-off thrust settings, etc.
 - (g) Mass and balance calculation applications used to establish the mass and centre of gravity of the aircraft and to determine that the load and its distribution are such that the mass and balance limits of the aircraft are not exceeded.
 - (h) Applications providing in-flight weather information.
11. **A new GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) is added:**

GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

TACTICAL USE

The tactical use of an EFB application is considered to be related to short-term decision-making, while strategic use is related to long-term decision-making support.

12. **A new GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) is added:**

GM2 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

HUMAN–MACHINE INTERFACE (HMI) FOR TYPE A EFB APPLICATIONS

An HMI assessment is not required for a type A EFB application. However, type A EFB applications should be designed in accordance with the human factor principles in order to minimise their impacts on crew workload.

SUBPART M
ELECTRONIC FLIGHT BAGS (EFBs)

operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

SPA.EFB.100 Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — operational approval

- (a) A commercial air transport operator shall only use a type B EFB application if the operator has been granted an approval by the competent authority for such use.
- (b) In order to obtain an operational approval from the competent authority for the use of a type B EFB application, the operator shall provide evidence that:
- (1) a risk assessment related to the use of the EFB device that hosts the application and to the EFB application and its associated function(s) has been conducted, identifying the associated risks and ensuring that they are appropriately managed and mitigated
 - (2) the human-machine interfaces of the EFB device and the EFB application have been assessed against human factors principles;
 - (3) it has established an EFB administration system and that procedures and training requirements for the administration and use of the EFB device and the EFB application have been established and implemented; these shall include procedures for:
 - (i) operating the EFB;
 - (ii) the management of changes to the EFB;"
 - (iii) the management of EFB data;
 - (iv) EFB maintenance; and
 - (v) EFB security;
 - (4) the EFB host platform is suitable for the intended use of the EFB application.

This demonstration shall be specific to the EFB application and the EFB host platform on which the application is installed.

1. A new AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added:

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — operational approval

SUITABILITY OF THE HARDWARE operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

- (a) Placement of the display

The placement of the display should be consistent with the intended use of the EFB and should not create unacceptable workload for the pilot or require undue 'head-down' movements during critical phases of flight. Displays used for EFB chart applications should be located so as to be visible from the pilot's station with the minimum practicable deviation from their lines of vision when looking forward along the flight path.

- (b) Display characteristics

Consideration should be given to the long-term degradation of a display as a result of abrasion and ageing. AMC 25-11 (paragraph 3.16a) may be used as guidance to assess luminance and legibility aspects.

Information displayed on the EFB should be legible to the typical user at the intended viewing distance(s) and under the full range of lighting conditions expected in a flight crew compartment, including direct sunlight.

Users should be able to adjust the screen brightness of an EFB independently of the brightness of other displays in the flight crew compartment. In addition, when incorporating an automatic brightness adjustment, it should operate independently for each EFB in the flight crew compartment. Brightness adjustment using software means may be acceptable provided that this operation does not adversely affect the flight crew workload.

Buttons and labels should have adequate illumination for night use. 'Buttons and labels' refers to hardware controls located on the display itself.

All controls should be properly labelled for their intended functions, except if no confusion is possible. The 90-degree viewing angle on either side of each flight crew member's line of sight may be unacceptable for certain EFB applications if aspects of the display quality are degraded at large viewing angles (e.g. the display colours wash out or the displayed colour contrast is not discernible at the installation viewing angle).

(c) **Power source**

The design of a portable EFB system should consider the source of electrical power, the independence of the power sources for multiple EFBs, and the potential need for an independent battery source. A non-exhaustive list of factors to be considered includes:

- (1) the possibility to adopt operational procedures to ensure an adequate level of safety (for example, a minimum preflight level of charge);
- (2) the possible redundancy of portable EFBs to reduce the risk of exhausted batteries;
- (3) the availability of backup battery packs to ensure that there is an alternative source of power.

Battery-powered EFBs that have aircraft power available for recharging the internal EFB batteries are considered to have a suitable backup power source.

For EFBs that have an internal battery power source, and that are used as an alternative for paper documentation that is required by CAT.GEN.MPA.180, the operator should either have at least one EFB connected to an aircraft power bus, or have established and documented mitigation means and procedures to ensure that sufficient power with acceptable margins will be available during the whole flight.

(d) **Environmental testing**

Environmental testing, in particular testing for rapid decompression, should be performed on EFBs that host applications that are required to be used during flight following a rapid decompression, and/or on EFBs with an environmental operational range that is potentially insufficient with respect to the foreseeable flight crew compartment operating conditions. The information from the rapid-decompression test of an EFB is used to establish the procedural requirements for the use of that EFB device in a pressurised aircraft. Rapid-decompression testing should follow the EUROCAE ED-14D/RTCA DO-160D (or later revisions) guidelines for rapid-decompression testing up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft at which the EFB is to be used.

- (1) Pressurised aircraft: if a portable EFB has successfully completed rapid-decompression testing, then no mitigating procedures for depressurisation events need to be developed. If a portable EFB has failed the rapid-decompression testing while turned ON, but successfully completed it when turned OFF, then procedures should ensure that at least one EFB on board the aircraft either remains OFF during the applicable flight phases, or is configured so that no damage will be incurred should rapid decompression occur in flight at altitudes higher than 10 000 ft above mean sea level (AMSL).

If an EFB system has not undergone a rapid-decompression test or it has failed the test, then alternate procedures or a paper backup should be available for the related type B EFB applications.

- (2) Non-pressurised aircraft: rapid-decompression testing is not required for an EFB used in a non-pressurised aircraft. It should be demonstrated that the EFB can operate reliably up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft. If the EFB cannot be operated at the maximum

operating altitude of the aircraft, procedures should be established to preclude operation of the EFB above the maximum demonstrated EFB operating altitude while still maintaining the availability of any required aeronautical information displayed on the EFB.

The results of testing performed on a specific EFB model configuration (as identified by the EFB hardware manufacturer) may be applicable to EFBs of the same model used in other aircraft installations, in which case these generic environmental tests may not need to be duplicated. The operator should collect and retain:

- (1) evidence of these tests that have already been accomplished; or
- (2) suitable alternative procedures to deal with the total loss of the EFB system.

Rapid decompression tests do not need to be repeated if the EFB model identification and the battery type do not change.

The testing of operational EFBs should be avoided if possible to preclude the infliction of unknown damage to the devices during testing.

Operators should account for the possible loss or erroneous functioning of the EFB in abnormal environmental conditions.

The safe stowage and the use of the EFB under any foreseeable environmental conditions in the flight crew compartment, including turbulence, should be evaluated.

2. A new AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added: operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

CHANGES

Modifications to an EFB system may have to be introduced either by the EFB system supplier, the EFB applications developer, or by the operator itself.

Those modifications that:

- (a) do not result in a hardware change that would require a re-evaluation of the HMI and human factors aspects in accordance with AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(2);
- (b) do not bring any change to the calculation algorithms of a type B EFB application;
- (c) do not bring any change to the HMI of a type B EFB application that requires a change to the flight crew training programme or operational procedures;
- (d) introduce a new type A EFB application or modify an existing one (provided its software classification remains type A);
- (e) do not introduce any additional functionality to an existing type B EFB application; or
- (f) update an existing database necessary to use an existing type B EFB application, may be introduced by the operator without the need to be approved by its competent authority.

These changes should, nevertheless, be controlled and properly tested prior to use during flights. The modifications in the following non-exhaustive list are considered to meet these criteria:

- (a) operating system updates;

- (b) chart or airport database updates;
- (c) updates to introduce fixes (i.e. patches); and
- (d) installation and modification of a type A EFB application.

For all other types of modification, the operator should apply the change management procedure approved by the competent authority in accordance with ARO.GEN.310(c). This includes the extension of the use of an EFB system, for which the operator already holds an approval, to another aircraft type of the operator's fleet.

In the specific case of a complete change of the hardware hosting the EFB application, the operator should demonstrate to its competent authority that the new hardware is suitable for the intended use of the EFB application as per AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b).

3. A new AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added:

operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

OPERATIONAL EVALUATION TEST

- (a) The operator should perform an operational evaluation test which should enable verification that the relevant requirements of SPA.EFB have been satisfied before a final decision is made on the operational use of the EFB.

An operational evaluation test should be performed by operators seeking an operational approval for the use of a type B EFB application. This does not apply to changes to a type B EFB application whose use has already been approved by the operator's competent authority.

The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to perform an operational evaluation test by providing a plan, which should contain at least the following information:

- (1) the starting date of the operational evaluation test;
- (2) the duration of the operational evaluation test;
- (3) the aircraft involved;
- (4) the EFB hardware and type(s) of software including version details;
- (5) the EFB policy and procedure manual;
- (6) their EFB risk assessment; and
- (7) for type B EFB applications that replace the paper documentation without initial retention of a paper backup, and type B EFB applications that do not replace the paper documentation:
 - (i) a simulator line-oriented flight training (LOFT) session programme to verify the use of the EFB under operational conditions including normal, abnormal, and emergency conditions; and
 - (ii) a proposed schedule to allow the competent authority to observe the EFB application use in actual flight operations.

The operational evaluation test should consist of an in-service proving period with a standard duration of 6 months. A reduced duration may be considered after taking into account the following criteria:

- (1) the operator's previous experience with EFBs;
- (2) a high number of flights operated monthly;
- (3) the intended use of the EFB system; and
- (4) the mitigation means defined by the operator.

An operator wishing to reduce the duration of the operational evaluation test to less than 6 months should provide its competent authority with the appropriate justification in its operational evaluation plan.

The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than 6 months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system.

The general purpose of the in-service proving period for type B EFB applications that replaces the paper documentation is for the operator to demonstrate that an EFB system provides at least the levels of accessibility, usability and reliability of the paper documentation.

For all type B EFB applications, the proving period should show that:

- (1) the flight crew members are able to operate the EFB applications;
- (2) the operator's administration procedures are in place and function correctly;
- (3) the operator is capable of providing timely updates to the applications on the EFB, where a database is involved;
- (4) the introduction of the EFB does not adversely affect the operator's operating procedures, and that alternative procedures provide an acceptable equivalent if the EFB system is not available;
- (5) for a system including uncertified elements (hardware or software), that the system operates correctly and reliably; and
- (6) the assumptions used for the risk assessment are not disproved for the type of operations intended (with or without a paper backup).

In the case of charts or in-flight weather (IFW) applications displaying the own-ship position in flight, the in-service proving should allow to confirm the absence of frequent losses of position and to assess the resulting workload for the flight crew.

The operator may remove the paper backup once it has shown that the EFB system is sufficiently robust.

- (b) Final operational report

The operator should produce and retain a final operational report, that summarises all the activities performed and the means of compliance that were used, supporting the operational use of the EFB system.

4. A new AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added:

operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs)

EFB APPLICATIONS WITH ETSO AUTHORISATIONS

EFB software applications may be approved by EASA e.g. by means of an ETSO authorisation. Such approved EFB applications are considered to be compliant with the requirements of SPA.EFB.100(b) that are included in the scope of the approval, provided that the EFB software is installed and used in conformity with its installation and operational instructions and limitations.

5. **A new GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added:**

GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

FINAL OPERATIONAL REPORT

An example of typical items for the final operational report is provided below:

(a) System description and classification of the EFB system:

- (1) a general description of the EFB system and of the hardware and software applications.

(b) Software applications:

- (1) a list of the type A EFB applications installed;
- (2) a list of the type B EFB applications installed; and
- (3) a list of the miscellaneous software applications installed.

(c) Hardware:

For portable EFBs used without installed resources, relevant information about or reference to:

- (1) the EMI compliance demonstration;
- (2) the lithium battery compliance demonstration;
- (3) the depressurisation compliance demonstration; and
- (4) details of the power source.

For portable EFBs served by installed resources:

- (1) details of the airworthiness approval for the mounting device;
- (2) a description of the placement of the EFB display;
- (3) details of the use of installed resources;
- (4) information on the EMI compliance demonstration;
- (5) information on the lithium battery compliance demonstration;
- (6) information on the depressurisation compliance demonstration;
- (7) details of the power source;
- (8) details of any data connectivity.

For installed EFBs:

- (1) details of the airworthiness approval for installed equipment.

(d) Certification documentation:

- (1) EFB limitations contained within the AFM;

- (2) guidelines for EFB application developers; and
 - (3) guidelines for EFB system suppliers.
- (e) Specific considerations for performance applications:
 - (1) details of performance data validation performed.
- (f) Operational assessment:
 - (1) details of the EFB risk assessment performed;
 - (2) details of the human–machine interface (HMI) assessment performed for type B EFB applications;
 - (3) details of flight crew operating procedures:
 - (i) for using EFB systems with other flight crew compartment systems;
 - (ii) ensuring flight crew awareness of EFB software/database revisions;
 - (iii) to mitigate and/or control increased workload; and
 - (iv) describing flight crew responsibilities for performance and weight and balance calculations;
 - (4) details of proposed compliance monitoring oversight of the EFB system;
 - (5) details of EFB system security measures;
 - (6) details of EFB administration procedures, including provision of the EFB policy and procedures manual and EFB administrator qualifications;
 - (7) details of the procedure for electronic signatures;
 - (8) details of the system for routine EFB system maintenance;
 - (9) details of EFB training including flight crew training:
 - (i) initial training;
 - (ii) differences training; and
 - (iii) recurrent training;
 - (10) Report of the operational evaluation test:
 - (i) proposals for the initial retention of a paper backup;
 - (ii) proposals for the commencement of operations without any paper backup;
 - (11) EFB platform/hardware description;
 - (12) a description of each software application to be included in the assessment;
 - (13) a human factors assessment for the complete EFB system, human–machine interface (HMI), and all the software applications that covers:
 - (i) the flight crew workload in both single-pilot and multi-pilot aircraft;
 - (ii) the size, resolution, and legibility of symbols and text;
 - (iii) for navigation chart displays: access to desired charts, access to information within a chart, grouping of information, general layout, orientation (e.g. track-up, north-up), depiction of scale information.

6. **A new GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b) is added:**

GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

EVALUATION BY EASA

The **operator** may use the results of an EFB application evaluation performed by EASA to support its application to its competent authority for an operational approval.

7. **A new AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(1) is added:**

operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(1) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

RISK ASSESSMENT

(a) General

Prior to the use of any EFB system, the operator should perform a risk assessment for all type B EFB applications and for the related EFB hardware, as part of its hazard identification and risk management process.

If an operator makes use of a risk assessment established by the software developer, the **operator** should ensure that its specific operational environment is taken into account.

The risk assessment should:

- (1) evaluate the risks associated with the use of an EFB;
- (2) identify potential losses of function or malfunction (with detected and undetected erroneous outputs) and the associated failure scenarios;
- (3) analyse the operational consequences of these failure scenarios;
- (4) establish mitigating measures; and
- (5) ensure that the EFB system (hardware and software) achieves at least the same level of accessibility, usability, and reliability as the means of presentation it replaces.

In considering the accessibility, usability, and reliability of the EFB system, the **operator** should ensure that the failure of the complete EFB system, as well as of individual applications, including corruption or loss of data, and erroneously displayed information, has been assessed and that the risks have been mitigated to an acceptable level.

This risk assessment should be defined before the beginning of the trial period and should be amended accordingly, if necessary, at the end of this trial period. The results of the trial should establish the configuration and use of the system. **Once the operator has been granted the operational approval for the use of the related EFB applications, it should ensure that the related risk assessment is maintained and kept up to date.**

When the EFB system is intended to be introduced alongside a paper-based system, only the failures that would not be mitigated by the use of the paper-based system need to be addressed. In all other cases, and especially when an accelerated introduction with a reduced trial period or a paperless use of a new EFB system is intended, a complete risk assessment should be performed.

(b) Assessing and mitigating the risks

Some parameters of EFB applications may depend on entries that are made by flight crew/dispatchers, whereas others may be default parameters from within the system that are subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would mainly concern training and flight crew procedure aspects, whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on the EFB administration and data management aspects.

The analysis should be specific to the **operator** concerned and should address at least the following points:

- (1) The minimisation of undetected erroneous outputs from applications and assessment of the worst credible scenario;
- (2) Erroneous outputs from the software application, including:
 - (i) a description of the corruption scenarios that were analysed; and
 - (ii) a description of the mitigation means;
- (3) Upstream processes including:
 - (i) the reliability of root data used in applications (e.g. qualified input data, such as databases produced under ED-76/DO-200A, 'Standards for Processing Aeronautical Data');
 - (ii) the software application validation and verification checks according to relevant industry standards, if applicable; and
 - (iii) the independence between application software components, e.g. robust partitioning between EFB applications and other airworthiness certified software applications;
- (4) A description of the mitigation means to be used following the detected failure of an application, or of a detected erroneous output;
- (5) The need for access to an alternate power supply in order to ensure the availability of software applications, especially if they are used as a source of required information.

As part of the mitigation means, the **operator** should consider establishing reliable alternative means to provide the information available on the EFB system.

The mitigation means could be, for example, one of, or a combination of, the following:

- (1) the system design (including hardware and software);
- (2) a backup EFB device, possibly supplied from a different power source;
- (3) EFB applications being hosted on more than one platform;
- (4) a paper backup (e.g. quick reference handbook (QRH)); and
- (5) procedural means.

EFB system design features such as those assuring data integrity and the accuracy of performance calculations (e.g. a 'reasonableness' or 'range' check) may be integrated in the risk assessment to be performed by the **operator**.

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(2) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval
HUMAN–MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS

- (a) The operator should perform an assessment of the human–machine interface (HMI), the installation, and aspects governing crew resource management (CRM) when using the EFB system.

The HMI assessment is key to identifying acceptable mitigation means, e.g.:

- (1) to establish procedures for reducing the risk of making errors; and
- (2) to control and mitigate the additional workload related to EFB use.

- (b) The assessment should be performed by the operator for each kind of device and application installed on the EFB. The operator should assess the integration of the EFB into the flight deck environment, considering both physical integration (e.g. anthropometrics, physical interference, etc.) and cognitive ergonomics (the compatibility of look and feel, workflows, alerting philosophy, etc.).

- (1) Human–machine interface

The EFB system should provide a consistent and intuitive user interface within and across the various hosted applications and with flight deck avionics applications. This should include but is not limited to data entry methods, colour-coding philosophies, and symbology.

- (2) Input devices

When choosing and designing input devices such as keyboards or cursor-control devices, applicants should consider the type of entry to be made and also flight crew compartment environmental factors, such as turbulence, that could affect the usability of that input device. Typically, the performance parameters of cursor-control devices should be tailored for the function of the intended application as well as for the flight crew compartment environment.

- (3) Consistency

- (i) Consistency between EFBs and applications:

Particular attention should be paid to the consistency of all interfaces, in particular when one provider develops the software application and another organisation integrates it into the EFB.

- (ii) Consistency with flight deck applications:

Whenever possible, EFB user interfaces should be consistent with the other flight deck avionics applications with regard to design philosophy, look and feel, interaction logic, and workflows.

- (4) Messages and the use of colours

For any EFB system, EFB messages and reminders should be readily and easily detectable and intelligible by the flight crew under all foreseeable operating conditions.

The use of red and amber colours should be limited and carefully considered. EFB messages, both visual and aural, should be, as far as practicable, inhibited during critical phases of the flight.

Flashing text or symbols should be avoided in any EFB application. Messages should be prioritised according to their significance for the flight crew and the message prioritisation scheme should be documented in the operator's EFB policy and procedure manual.

Additionally, during critical phases of the flight, information necessary to the pilot should be continuously presented without uncommanded overlays, pop-ups, or pre-emptive messages, except for those indicating the failure or degradation of the current EFB application. However, if there is a regulatory or technical standard order (TSO) requirement that is in conflict with the recommendation above, that requirement should take precedence.

(5) System error messages

If an application is fully or partially disabled or is not visible or accessible to the user, it may be desirable to have an indication of its status available to the user upon request. Certain non-essential applications such as those for email connectivity and administrative reports may require an error message when the user actually attempts to access the function, rather than an immediate status annunciation when a failure occurs. EFB status and fault messages should be documented in the operator's EFB policy and procedure manual.

(6) Data entry screening and error messages

If any user-entered data is not of the correct format or type needed by the application, the EFB should not accept the data. An error message should be provided that communicates which entry is suspect and specifies what type of data is expected. The EFB system should incorporate input error checking that detects input errors at the earliest possible point during entry, rather than on completion of a possibly lengthy invalid entry.

(7) Error and failure modes

(i) Flight crew errors:

The system should be designed to minimise the occurrence and effects of flight crew errors and to maximise the identification and resolution of errors. For example, terms for specific types of data or the format in which latitude/longitude is entered should be the same across systems.

(ii) Identifying failure modes:

The EFB system should alert the flight crew of EFB system failures.

(8) Responsiveness of applications

The EFB system should provide feedback to the user when a user input is performed. If the system is busy with internal tasks that preclude the immediate processing of a user input (e.g. performing calculations, self-tests, or refreshing data), the EFB should display a 'system busy' indicator (e.g. a clock icon) to inform the user that the system is occupied and cannot process inputs immediately. The timeliness of the EFB system response to a user input should be consistent with an application's intended function. The feedback and system response times should be predictable in order to avoid flight crew distractions and/or uncertainty.

(9) Off-screen text and content

If the document segment is not visible in its entirety in the available display area, such as during 'zoom' or 'pan' operations, the existence of off-screen content should be clearly indicated in a consistent way. For some intended functions, it may be unacceptable if certain portions of documents are not visible. Also, some applications may not require an off-screen content indicator

when the presence of off-screen content is readily obvious. This should be evaluated based on the application and its intended operational function. If there is a cursor, it should be visible on the screen at all times while in use.

(10) Active regions

Active regions are regions to which special user commands apply. The active region can be text, a graphic image, a window, frame, or some other document object. These regions should be clearly indicated.

(11) Managing multiple open applications and documents

If the electronic document application supports multiple open documents, or the system allows multiple open applications, an indication of which application and/or document is active should be continuously provided. The active document is the one that is currently displayed and responds to user actions. The user should be able to select which of the open applications or documents is currently active. In addition, the user should be able to find which flight crew compartment applications are running and easily switch to any of these applications. When the user returns to an application that was running in the background, it should appear in the same state as when the user left that application, with the exception of differences stemming from the progress or completion of processing performed in the background.

(12) Flight crew workload

The positioning of the EFB and the procedures associated with its use should not result in undue flight crew workload. Complex, multi-step-data-entry tasks should be avoided during take-off, landing, and other critical phases of the flight. An evaluation of the EFB intended functions should include a qualitative assessment of the incremental flight crew workload, as well as the flight crew system interfaces and their safety implications.

9. **A new AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:** operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

EFB ADMINISTRATOR

The operator should appoint an EFB administrator responsible for the administration of the EFB system within the operator's organisation. The EFB administrator is the primary link between the operator and the EFB system and software suppliers.

The EFB administrator function may be contracted to an external organisation in accordance with ORO.GEN.205.

Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure to perform the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system.

The EFB administrator is the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and should be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. They should also be responsible for ensuring that only the current versions of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB system.

The EFB administrator should be responsible:

- (a) For all the EFB applications installed, and for providing support to the EFB users regarding these applications;
- (b) For checking potential security issues associated with the applications installed;
- (c) For hardware and software configuration management of the EFBs, and, in particular, for ensuring that no unauthorised software is installed.

The EFB administrator should ensure that miscellaneous software applications do not adversely impact on the operation of the EFB and should include miscellaneous software applications in the scope of the configuration management of the EFB.

This does not preclude EFB devices from being allocated to specific flight crew members.

In those cases where it is demonstrated that miscellaneous software applications run in a way that is fully segregated and partitioned from the EFB or avionics applications (e.g. on a separate operating system on a distinct 'personal' hard drive partition that is selected when the EFB boots up), the administration of these miscellaneous software applications can be exercised by the flight crew members instead of by the EFB administrator.

- (d) For ensuring that only valid versions of the application software and current data packages are installed on the EFB system; and
- (e) For ensuring the integrity of the data packages used by the applications installed.

The **operator** should make arrangements to ensure the continuity of the management of the EFB system in the absence of the EFB administrator.

Each person involved in EFB administration should receive appropriate training for their role and should have a good knowledge of the proposed system hardware, operating system and relevant software applications, and also of the appropriate regulatory requirements related to the use of EFBs. The content of this training should be determined with the aid of the EFB system supplier or application supplier.

The **operator** should ensure that the persons involved in EFB administration keep their knowledge about the EFB system and its security up to date.

10. **A new AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:** **operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies**

AMC2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL

The **operator should establish procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place.** The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partially integrated in the operations manual.

The EFB policy and **procedures manual should also address means to ensure that the content and databases of the EFB are valid and up to date, in order to ensure the integrity of the EFB data.** This may include establishing revision-control procedures so that flight crew members and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means.

The EFB policy and procedures manual should also clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier.

For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are outdated. This manual should at least include the following:

- (a) All EFB-related procedures, including:
 - (1) operating procedures;
 - (2) security procedures;
 - (3) maintenance procedures;
 - (4) software control procedures;
- (b) Management of changes to content/databases;
- (c) Notifications to crews of updates;
- (d) If any applications use information that is specific to the aircraft type or tail number, guidance on how to ensure that the correct information is installed on each aircraft;
- (e) Procedures to avoid corruption/errors when implementing changes to the EFB system; and
- (f) In cases involving multiple EFBs in the flight crew compartment, procedures to ensure that they all have the same content/databases installed.

The EFB administrator should be responsible for the procedures and systems documented in the EFB policy and procedures manual that maintain EFB security and integrity. This includes system security, content security, access security, and protection against malicious software.

11. A new AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added: operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval PROCEDURES

- (a) General

If an EFB system generates information similar to that generated by existing certified systems, procedures should clearly identify which information source will be the primary, which source will be used for backup information, and under which conditions the backup source should be used. Procedures should define the actions to be taken by the flight crew when information provided by an EFB system is not consistent with that from other flight crew compartment sources, or when one EFB system shows different information than the other.

In the case of EFB applications providing information which might be affected by Notice(s) to Airmen NOTAMS (e.g. Airport moving map display (AMMD), performance calculation, etc.), the procedure for the use of these applications should include the handling of the relevant NOTAMS before their use.
- (b) Flight crew awareness of EFB software/database revisions

The operator should have a procedure in place to verify that the configuration of the EFB, including software application versions and, where applicable, database versions, are up to date. Flight crew members should have the ability to easily verify the validity of database versions used on the EFB. Nevertheless, flight crew members should not be required to confirm the revision dates for other databases that do not adversely affect flight operations, such as maintenance log forms or a list of airport codes. An example of a date-sensitive revision is that applied to an aeronautical chart database. Procedures should specify what actions should be taken if the software applications or databases loaded on the EFB system are outdated.

(c) Procedures to mitigate and/or control workload

Procedures should be designed to mitigate and/or control additional workload created by using an EFB system. The operator should implement procedures to ensure that, while the aircraft is in flight or moving on the ground, flight crew members do not become preoccupied with the EFB system at the same time. Workload should be shared between flight crew members to ensure ease of use and continued monitoring of other flight crew functions and aircraft equipment. These procedures should be strictly applied in flight and the operator should specify any times when the flight crew may not use a specific EFB application.

(d) Dispatch

The operator should establish dispatch criteria for EFB systems. The operator should ensure that the availability of the EFB system is confirmed by preflight checks. Instructions to the flight crew should clearly define the actions to be taken in the event of any EFB system deficiency.

Mitigation should be in the form of maintenance and/or operational procedures for items such as:

- (1) replacement of batteries at defined intervals as required;
- (2) ensuring there is a fully charged backup battery on board;
- (3) the flight crew checking the battery charging level before departure; and
- (4) the flight crew switching off the EFB in a timely manner when the aircraft power source is lost.

In the event of a partial or complete failure of the EFB, specific dispatch procedures should be followed. These procedures should be included either in the minimum equipment list (MEL) or in the operations manual, and should ensure an acceptable level of safety.

Particular attention should be paid to establishing specific dispatch procedures allowing to obtain operational data (e.g. performance data) in case of a failure of an EFB hosting an application that normally provides such calculated data.

When the integrity of data input and output is verified by cross-checking and gross-error checks, the same checking principle should be applied to alternative dispatch procedures to ensure equivalent protection.

(e) Maintenance

Procedures should be established for the routine maintenance of the EFB system and detailing how unserviceability and failures are to be dealt with to ensure that the integrity of the EFB system is preserved. Maintenance procedures should also include the secure handling of updated information

and how this information is validated and then promulgated in a timely manner and in a complete format to all users.

As part of the EFB system's maintenance, the **operator** should ensure that the EFB system batteries are periodically checked and replaced as required.

Should faults or failures of the system arise, it is essential that such failures are brought to the immediate attention of the flight crew and that the system is isolated until rectification action is taken. In addition to backup procedures to deal with system failures, **a reporting system should be in place so that the necessary corrective action, either to a particular EFB system or to the whole system, is taken in order to prevent the use of erroneous information by flight crew members.**

(f) Security

The EFB system (including any means used for updating it) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. by malicious software). The **operator** should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at the software level and to manage the hardware (e.g. the identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use) throughout the operational lifetime of the EFB system. These **procedures should guarantee that, prior to each flight, the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for the compilation and secure distribution of data to the aircraft.**

Procedures should be transparent and easy to understand to follow and to oversee that:

- (1) if an EFB is based on consumer electronics (e.g. a laptop) which can be easily removed, manipulated, or replaced by a similar component, that special consideration is given to the physical security of the hardware;
- (2) portable EFB platforms are subject to allocation tracking to specific aircraft or persons;
- (3) where a system has input ports, and especially if widely known protocols are used through these ports, or internet connections are offered, that special consideration is given to the risks associated with these ports;
- (4) where physical media are used to update the EFB system, and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, that the **operator** uses technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media.

The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the functions used (e.g. an EFB that only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations).

Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security that is ultimately required depends on the capabilities of the EFB.

(g) Electronic signatures (Refer to OAN 01/19)

Part-CAT and Part-M may require a signature when issuing or accepting a document (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, notification to captain (NOTOC)). In order to be accepted as being equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and to assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of

signature that they are intended to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides the means to comply with the required handwritten signature or its equivalent for mass and balance documentation.

On a general basis, in the case of required signatures, an **operator** should have in place **procedures for electronic signatures** that guarantee:

- (1) their uniqueness: a signature should identify a specific individual and should be difficult to duplicate;
- (2) their significance: an individual using an electronic signature should take deliberate and recognisable action to affix their signature;
- (3) their scope: the scope of the information being affirmed with an electronic signature should be clear to the signatory and to the subsequent readers of the record, record entry, or document;
- (4) their security: the security of an individual's handwritten signature is maintained by ensuring that it is difficult for another individual to duplicate or alter it;
- (5) their non-repudiation: an electronic signature should prevent a signatory from denying that they affixed a signature to a specific record, record entry, or document; the more difficult it is to duplicate a signature, the likelier it is that the signature was created by the signatory; and
- (6) their traceability: an electronic signature should provide positive traceability to the individual who signed a record, record entry, or any other document.

An electronic signature should retain those qualities of a handwritten signature that guarantee its uniqueness. Systems using either a PIN or a password with limited validity (timewise) may be appropriate in providing positive traceability to the individual who affixed it. Advanced electronic signatures, qualified certificates and secured signature-creation devices needed to create them in the context of Regulation (EU) No 910/2014¹ are typically not required for EFB operations.

12. **A new AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:** **operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies**

AMC4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

FLIGHT CREW TRAINING

- (a) Flight crew members should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used.

Training should at least include the following:

- (1) an overview of the system architecture;
- (2) preflight checks of the system;
- (3) limitations of the system;
- (4) specific training on the use of each application and the conditions under which the EFB may and may not be used;

- (5) restrictions on the use of the system, including cases where the entire system, or some parts of it, are not available;
- (6) procedures for normal operations, including cross-checking of data entry and computed information;
- (7) procedures to handle abnormal situations, such as a late runway change or a diversion to an alternate aerodrome;
- (8) procedures to handle emergency situations;
- (9) phases of the flight when the EFB system may and may not be used;
- (10) human factors considerations, including crew resource management (CRM), on the use of the EFB; and
- (11) additional training for new applications or changes to the hardware configuration.

As far as practicable, it is recommended that the training simulator environments should include the EFBs in order to offer a higher level of representativeness.

Consideration should also be given to the role that the EFB system plays in [operator](#) proficiency checks as part of recurrent training and checking, and to the suitability of the training devices used during training and checking.

EFB training should be included in the relevant training programme established and approved in accordance with ORO.FC.

(b) EFB training and checking

(1) Assumptions regarding flight crew members' previous experience

Training for the use of the EFB should be for the purpose of operating the EFB itself and the applications hosted on it, and should not be intended to provide basic competence in areas such as aircraft performance, etc. Initial EFB training, therefore, should assume basic competence in the functions addressed by the software applications installed.

Training should be adapted to the flight crew's experience and knowledge.

(2) Programmes crediting previous EFB experience

Training programmes for the EFB may give credit for trainees' previous EFB experience. For example, previous experience of an aircraft performance application hosted on a portable EFB and using similar software may be credited towards training on an installed EFB with a performance application.

(3) Initial EFB training

Training required for the granting of an aircraft type rating may not recognise variants within the type nor the installation of particular equipment. Any training for the granting of a type qualification need not, therefore, recognise the installation or the use of an EFB unless it is installed equipment across all variants of the type. However, where training for the issuing of the type rating is combined with the operator's conversion course, the training syllabus should recognise the installation of the EFB where the operator's standard operating procedures (SOPs) are dependent on its use.

Initial EFB training may consist of both ground-based and flight training, depending on the nature and complexity of the EFB system. An [operator](#) or approved training organisation (ATO) may use many methods for ground-based EFB training including written handouts or flight crew operating manual (FCOM) material, classroom instruction, pictures, videotapes, ground training devices, computer-based instruction, flight simulation training devices (FSTDs), and static aircraft training. Ground-based training for a sophisticated EFB lends itself particularly to computer-based training (CBT). Flight EFB training should be performed by a suitably qualified person during line flying under supervision (LIFUS) or during differences or conversion training.

The following areas of emphasis should be considered when defining the initial EFB training programme:

- (i) The use of the EFB hardware and the need for proper adjustment of lighting, etc., when the system is used in flight;
 - (ii) The intended use of each software application together with any limitations or prohibitions on its use;
 - (iii) Proper cross-checking of data inputs and outputs if an aircraft performance application is installed;;
 - (iv) Proper verification of the applicability of the information being used if a terminal chart application is installed;
 - (v) The need to avoid fixation on the map display if a moving map display is installed;;
 - (vi) Handling of conflicting information;
 - (vii) Failures of component(s) of the EFB; and
 - (viii) Actions to be taken following the failure of component(s) of the EFB, including cases of battery smoke or fire.
- (4) Initial EFB checking
- (i) Initial ground EFB checking
The check performed following the ground-based element of initial EFB training may be accomplished by the use of a questionnaire ([oral or written](#)) or as an automated component of the EFB CBT, depending on the nature of the training performed.
 - (ii) Skill test and proficiency check
Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of the EFB on the particular aircraft type or variant, proficiency in the use of the EFB should be assessed in the appropriate areas (e.g. item 1.1, item 1.5, etc., of Appendix 9 to Annex I (Part-FCL) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 1178/2011).
 - (iii) [Operator](#) proficiency check
Where an operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, proficiency in its use should be assessed during the [operator](#) proficiency check (OPC). Where the OPC is performed on an FSTD not equipped with the operator's EFB, proficiency should be assessed by another acceptable means.

(iv) Line check

Where an operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, proficiency in its use should be assessed during a line check.

(v) Areas of emphasis during EFB checking:

- (A) Proficiency in the use of each EFB application installed;
- (B) Proper selection and use of EFB displays;
- (C) Where an aircraft performance application is installed, proper cross-checking of data inputs and outputs;
- (D) Where a chart application is installed, proper checking of the validity of the information and the use of the chart clip function;
- (E) Where a moving map display is installed, maintenance of a proper outside visual scan without prolonged fixation on the EFB, especially during taxiing; and
- (F) Actions to be taken following the failure of component(s) of the EFB, including cases of battery smoke or fire.

(c) Differences or familiarisation training

When the introduction of the use of an EFB requires differences or familiarisation training to be carried out, the elements of initial EFB training should be used, as described above.

(d) Recurrent EFB training and checking

(1) Recurrent EFB training

Recurrent training is normally not required for the use of an EFB, provided the functions are used regularly in line operations. Operators should, however, include normal EFB operations as a component of the annual ground and refresher training.

In the case of mixed-fleet operations, or where the EFB is not installed across the fleet, additional recurrent training should be provided.

(2) Recurrent EFB checking

Recurrent EFB checking should be integrated in those elements of the licence proficiency check, the operator proficiency check and the line check applicable to the use of an EFB.

(e) Suitability of training devices

Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, the EFB should be present during the operator's training and checking. Where present, the EFB should be configured and operable in all respects as per the relevant aircraft. This should apply to:

- (1) the operator's conversion course;
- (2) differences or familiarisation training; and
- (3) recurrent training and checking.

Where the EFB system is based on a portable device used without any installed resources, it is recommended that the device should be present, operable, and used during all phases of the flight during which it would be used under the operator's SOPs.

For all other types of EFB systems, it is recommended that the device should be installed and operable in the training device (e.g. an FFS) and used during all phases of the flight during which it would be used under the operator's SOPs. However, an operator may define an alternative means of compliance when the operator's EFB system is neither installed nor operable in the training device.

Note: It is not necessary for the EFB to be available for those parts of the training and checking that are not related to the operator or to the operator's SOPs.

13. A new AMC5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added: operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies

AMC5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

PERFORMANCE AND MASS AND BALANCE APPLICATIONS

(a) General

Performance and mass and balance applications should be based on existing published data found in the AFM or performance manual, and should account for the applicable CAT.POL performance requirements. The applications may use algorithms or data spreadsheets to determine results. They may have the capability to interpolate within the information contained in the published data for the particular aircraft but they should not extrapolate beyond it.

To protect against intentional and unintentional modifications, the integrity of the database files related to performance and to mass and balance (the performance database, airport database, etc.) should be checked by the program before performing any calculations. This check can be run once at the start-up of the application.

Each software version should be identified by a unique version number. The compatibility between specific modules of a performance or mass and balance software application and the specific software revisions installed on a specific host (e.g. model of computer) should be ensured. The performance and mass and balance applications should record each computation performed (inputs and outputs) and the operator should have procedures in place to retain this information for at least 3 months. The operator should ensure that aircraft performance or mass and balance data provided by the application is correct compared with the data derived from the AFM (e.g. for take-off and landing performance data) or from other reference data sources (e.g. mass and balance manuals or databases, in-flight performance manuals or databases) under a representative cross-check of conditions (e.g. for take-off and landing performance applications: take-off and landing performance data on dry, wet, and contaminated runways, with different wind conditions and aerodrome pressure altitudes, etc.). The operator should establish procedures to define any new roles that the flight crew and, if applicable, the flight dispatcher, may have in creating, reviewing, and using performance calculations supported by EFB systems. In particular, the procedures should address cases where discrepancies are identified by the flight crew.

(b) Testing

The demonstration of the compliance of a performance or mass and balance application should include evidence of the software testing activities performed with the software version candidate for operational use.

The testing can be performed by either the operator or a third party, as long as the testing process is documented and the responsibilities are identified.

The testing activities should include human–machine interface (HMI) testing, reliability testing, and accuracy testing.

HMI testing should demonstrate that the application is not prone to error and that calculation errors can be detected by the flight crew with the proposed procedures. The testing should demonstrate that the applicable HMI guidelines are followed and that the HMI is implemented as specified by the application developer and in paragraph (f).

Reliability testing should show that the application in its operating environment (operating system (OS) and hardware included) is stable and deterministic, i.e. identical answers are generated each time the process is entered with identical parameters.

Accuracy testing should demonstrate that the aircraft performance or mass and balance computations provided by the application are correct in comparison with data derived from the AFM or other reference data sources, under a representative cross section of conditions (e.g. for take-off and landing performance applications: runway state and slope, different wind conditions and pressure altitudes, various aircraft configurations including failures with a performance impact, etc.).

The demonstration should include a sufficient number of comparison results from representative calculations throughout the entire operating envelope of the aircraft, considering corner points, routine and break points.

Any difference compared to the reference data that is judged significant should be examined and explained. When differences are due to more conservative calculations or reduced margins that were purposely built into the approved data, this approach should be clearly mentioned. Compliance with the applicable certification and operational rules needs to be demonstrated in any case.

The testing method should be described. The testing may be automated when all the required data is available in an appropriate electronic format, but in addition to performing thorough monitoring of the correct functioning and design of the testing tools and procedures, operators are strongly suggested to perform additional manual verification. It could be based on a few scenarios for each chart or table of the reference data, including both operationally representative scenarios and ‘corner-case’ scenarios.

The testing of a software revision should, in addition, include non-regression testing and testing of any fix or change.

Furthermore, **an operator should perform tests related to its customisation of the applications and to any element pertinent to its operation that was not covered at an earlier stage (e.g. airport database verification).**

(c) Procedures

Specific care is needed regarding the **flight crew procedures concerning take-off and landing performance or mass and balance applications.** The flight crew procedures should ensure that:

- (1) calculations are performed independently by each flight crew member before data outputs are accepted for use;
- (2) a formal cross-check is made before data outputs are accepted for use; such cross-checks should utilise the independent calculations described above, together with the output of the same data from other sources on the aircraft;
- (3) a gross-error check is performed before data outputs are accepted for use; such gross-error checks may use either a 'rule of thumb' or the output of the same data from other sources on the aircraft; and
- (4) in the event of a loss of functionality of an EFB through either the loss of a single application, or the failure of the device hosting the application, an equivalent level of safety can be maintained; consistency with the EFB risk assessment assumptions should be confirmed.

(d) Training

The training should emphasise the importance of executing all take-off and landing performance or mass and balance calculations in accordance with the SOPs to assure fully independent calculations. Furthermore, due to optimisations included at various levels in performance applications, flight crew members may be confronted with new procedures and different aircraft behaviour (e.g. the use of multiple flap settings for take-off). The training should be designed and provided accordingly. Where an application allows the computing of both dispatch results (from regulatory or factored calculations) and other results, the training should highlight the specificities of those results. Depending on the representativeness of the calculations, flight crew members should be trained on any operational margins that might be required.

The training should also address the identification and the review of default values, if any, and assumptions about the aircraft status or environmental conditions made by the application.

(e) Specific considerations for mass and balance applications

In addition to the figures, a diagram displaying the mass and its associated centre-of-gravity (CG) position should be provided.

(f) Human-factors-specific considerations

Input and output data (i.e. results) shall be clearly separated from each other. All the information necessary for a given calculation task should be presented together or be easily accessible.

All input and output data should include correct and unambiguous terms (names), units of measurement (e.g. kg or lb), and, when applicable, an index system and a CG-position declaration (e.g. Arm/%MAC). The units should match the ones from the other flight-crew-compartment sources for the same kind of data.

Airspeeds should be provided in a form that is directly useable in the flight crew compartment, unless the unit clearly indicates otherwise (e.g. Knots Calibrated Air Speed (KCAS)). Any difference between the type of airspeed provided by the EFB application and the type provided by the aircraft flight manual (AFM) or flight crew operating manual (FCOM) performance charts should be mentioned in the flight crew guides and training material.

If the landing performance application allows the computation of both dispatch (regulatory, factored) and other results (e.g. in-flight or unfactored), flight crew members should be made aware of the computation mode used.

(1) Inputs:

The application should allow users to clearly distinguish user entries from default values or entries imported from other aircraft systems.

Performance applications should enable the flight crew to check whether a certain obstacle is included in the performance calculations and/or to include new or revised obstacle information in the performance calculations.

(2) Outputs:

All critical assumptions for performance calculations (e.g. the use of thrust reversers, full or reduced thrust/power rating) should be clearly displayed. The assumptions made about any calculation should be at least as clear to the flight crew members as similar information would be on a tabular chart.

All output data should be available in numbers.

The application should indicate when a set of entries results in an unachievable operation (for instance, a negative stopping margin) with a specific message or colour scheme. This should be done in accordance with the relevant provisions on messages and the use of colours.

In order to allow a smooth workflow and to prevent data entry errors, the layout of the calculation outputs should be such that it is consistent with the data entry interface of the aircraft applications in which the calculation outputs are used (e.g. flight management systems).

(3) Modifications:

The user should be able to easily modify performance calculations, especially when making last-minute changes.

The results of calculations and any outdated input fields should be deleted whenever:

- (i) modifications are entered;
- (ii) the EFB is shut down or the performance application is closed; or
- (iii) the EFB or the performance application has been in a standby or 'background' mode for too long, i.e. such that it is likely that when it is used again, the inputs or outputs will be outdated.

14. A new AMC6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

AMC6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION

- (a) General **operator is required to document and demonstrate where it holds its associated policies**

An AMMD application should not be used as the primary means of navigation for taxiing and should be only used in conjunction with other materials and **procedures identified within the operating concept (see paragraph e)).**

When an AMMD is in use, the primary means of navigation for taxiing remains the use of normal procedures and direct visual observation out of the flight-crew-compartment window.

Thus, as recognised in ETSO-C165a, an AMMD application with a display of own-ship position is considered to have a minor safety effect for malfunctions that cause the incorrect depiction of aircraft position (own-ship), and the failure condition for the loss of function is classified as ‘no safety effect’.

(b) Minimum requirements

AMMD software that complies with European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a is considered to be acceptable.

In addition, the system should provide the means to display the revision number of the software installed.

To achieve the total system accuracy requirements of ETSO-C165a, an airworthiness-approved sensor using the global positioning system (GPS) in combination with a medium-accuracy database compliant with EUROCAE ED-99C/RTCA DO-272C, ‘User Requirements for Aerodrome Mapping Information,’ (or later revisions) is considered one acceptable means.

Alternatively, the use of non-certified commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) position sources may be acceptable in accordance with AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3).

(c) Data provided by the AMMD software application developer

The [operator](#) should ensure that the AMMD software application developer provides the appropriate data including:

(1) installation instructions or the equivalent as per ETSO-C165a Section 2.2 that address:

- (i) the identification of each specific EFB system computing platform (including the hardware platform and the operating system version) with which this AMMD software application and database was demonstrated to be compatible;
- (ii) the installation procedures and limitations for each applicable platform (e.g. required memory resources, configuration of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) antenna position);
- (iii) the interface description data including the requirements for external sensors providing data inputs; and
- (iv) means to verify that the AMMD has been installed correctly and is functioning properly.

(2) any AMMD limitations, and known installation, operational, functional, or performance issues of the AMMD.

(d) AMMD software installation in the EFB

The [operator](#) should review the documents and the data provided by the AMMD developer, and ensure that the installation requirements of the AMMD software in the specific EFB platform and aircraft are addressed. Operators are required to perform any verification activities proposed by the AMMD software application developer, as well as identify and perform any additional integration activities that need to be completed; and

(e) Operational procedures

Changes to operational procedures of the aircraft (e.g. flight crew procedures) should be documented in the operations manual or user's guide as appropriate. In particular, the documentation should highlight that the AMMD is only designed to assist flight crew members in orienting themselves on the airport surface so as to improve the flight crew members' positional awareness during taxiing, and that it is not to be used as the basis for ground manoeuvring.

(f) Training requirements

The operator may use flight crew procedures to mitigate some hazards. These should include limitations on the use of the AMMD function or application. As the AMMD could be a compelling display and the procedural restrictions are a key component of the mitigation, training should be provided in support of an AMMD.

All mitigation means that rely on flight crew procedures should be included in the flight crew training. Details of the AMMD training should be included in the operator's overall EFB training.

15. A new AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

USE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF (COTS) POSITION SOURCE

COTS position sources may be used for AMMD EFB applications and for EFB applications displaying the own-ship position in-flight when the following considerations are complied with:

(a) Characterisation of the receiver:

The position should originate from an airworthiness approved GNSS receiver, or from a COTS GNSS receiver fully characterised in terms of technical specifications and featuring an adequate number of channels (12 or more).

The EFB application should, in addition to position and velocity data, receive a sufficient number of parameters related to the fix quality and integrity to allow compliance with the accuracy requirements (e.g. the number of satellites and constellation geometry parameters such as dilution of position (DOP), 2D/3D fix).

(b) Installation aspects:

If the COTS position sources are stand-alone PEDs, they should be treated as C-PEDs and their installation and use should follow the requirements of CAT.GEN.MPA.140.

If an external COTS position source transmits wirelessly, cyber security aspects have to be considered. Non-certified securing systems should be assessed according to paragraph (h) of AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.141(a).

(c) Practical evaluation:

As variables can be introduced by the placement of the antennas in the aircraft and the characteristics of the aircraft itself (e.g. heated and/or shielded windshield effects), the tests have to take place on the type of aircraft in which the EFB will be operated, with the antenna positioned at the location to be used in service.

(1) COTS used as a position source for AMMD

The test installation should record the data provided by the COTS position source to the AMMD application.

The analysis should use the recorded parameters to demonstrate that the AMMD requirements are satisfactorily complied with in terms of the total system accuracy (taking into account database errors, latency effects, display errors, and uncompensated antenna offsets) within 50 metres (95 %). The availability should be sufficient to prevent distraction or increased workload due to frequent loss of position.

When demonstrating compliance with the following requirements of DO-257A, the behaviour of the AMMD system should be evaluated in practice:

- (i) indication of degraded position accuracy within 1 second (Section 2.2.4 (22)); and
 - (ii) indication of a loss of positioning data within 5 seconds (Section 2.2.4 (23)); conditions to consider are both a loss of the GNSS satellite view (e.g. antenna failure) and a loss of communication between the receiver and the EFB.
- (2) COTS position source used for applications displaying own-ship position in flight:

Flight trials should demonstrate that the COTS GNSS availability is sufficient to prevent distraction or increased workload due to frequent loss of position.

16. **A new AMC8 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:**

AMC8 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

CHART APPLICATIONS

The navigation charts that are depicted should contain the information necessary, in an appropriate form, to perform the operation safely. *Consideration should be given to the size, resolution and position of the display to ensure legibility whilst retaining the ability to review all the information required to maintain adequate situational awareness.*

In the case of chart application displaying own-ship position in-flight, AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is applicable.

17. **A new AMC9 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:**

AMC9 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

IN-FLIGHT WEATHER APPLICATIONS

(a) General

An in-flight weather (IFW) application is an EFB function or application enabling the flight crew to access meteorological information. It is designed to increase situational awareness and to support the flight crew when making strategic decisions.

An IFW function or application may be used to access both information required to be on board (e.g. World Area Forecast Centre (WAFC) data) and supplemental weather information.

The use of IFW applications should be non-safety-critical and not necessary for the performance of the flight. In order for it to be non-safety-critical, *IFW data should not be used to support tactical decisions and/or as a substitute for certified aircraft systems (e.g. weather radar).*

Any current information from the meteorological documentation required to be carried on board or from aircraft primary systems should always prevail over the information from an IFW application.

The displayed meteorological information may be forecasted and/or observed, and may be updated on the ground and/or in flight. It should be based on data from certified meteorological service providers or other reliable sources evaluated by the [operator](#).

The meteorological information provided to the flight crew should be, as far as possible, consistent with the information available to users of ground-based aviation meteorological information (e.g. operations control centre (OCC) staff, flight dispatchers, etc.) in order to establish common situational awareness and to facilitate collaborative decision-making.

(b) Display

Meteorological information should be presented to the flight crew in a format that is appropriate to the content of the information; coloured graphical depiction is encouraged whenever practicable. The IFW display should enable the flight crew to:

- (1) distinguish between observed and forecasted weather data;
- (2) identify the currency or age and validity time of the weather data;
- (3) access the interpretation of the weather data (e.g. the legend);
- (4) obtain positive and clear indications of any missing information or data and determine areas of uncertainty when making decisions to avoid hazardous weather; and
- (5) be aware of the status of the data link that enables the necessary IFW data exchanges.

Meteorological information in IFW applications may be displayed, for example, as an overlay over navigation charts, over geographical maps, or it may be a stand-alone weather depiction (e.g. radar plots, satellite images, etc.).

If meteorological information is overlaid on navigation charts, special consideration should be given to HMI issues in order to avoid adverse effects on the basic chart functions.

In case of display of own-ship position in flight, AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is applicable.

The meteorological information may require reformatting to accommodate for example the display size or the depiction technology. However, any reformatting of the meteorological information should preserve both the geo-location and intensity of the meteorological conditions regardless of projection, scaling, or any other types of processing.

(c) Training and procedures

[The operator should establish procedures for the use of an IFW application.](#)

The [operator](#) should provide adequate training to the flight crew members before using an IFW application. This training should address:

- (1) limitations of the use of an IFW application:
 - (i) acceptable use (strategic planning only);
 - (ii) information required to be on board; and

- (iii) latency of observed weather information and the hazards associated with utilisation of old information;
- (2) information on the display of weather data:
 - (i) type of displayed information (forecasted, observed);
 - (ii) symbology (symbols, colours); and
 - (iii) interpretation of meteorological information;
- (3) identification of failures and malfunctions (e.g. incomplete uplinks, data-link failures, missing info);
- (4) human factors issues:
 - (i) avoiding fixation; and
 - (ii) managing workload.

18. A new AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

AMC10 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT

(a) Limitations

The display of own-ship position in flight as an overlay to other EFB applications should not be used as a primary source of information to fly or navigate the aircraft.

Except on VFR flights over routes navigated by reference to visual landmark, the display of the own-ship symbol is allowed only in aircraft having a certified navigation display (moving map).

In the specific case of IFW applications, the display of own-ship on such applications is restricted to aircraft equipped with a weather radar.

(b) Position source and accuracy

The display of own-ship position may be based on a certified GNSS or GNSS-based (e.g. GPS/IRS) position from certified aircraft equipment or on a portable COTS position source in accordance with AMC7 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3).

The own-ship symbol should be removed and the flight crew notified if:

- (1) the estimated accuracy exceeds 50 meters;
- (2) the position data is reported as invalid by the GNSS receiver; or
- (3) the position data is not received for 5 seconds.

(c) Charting data considerations

If the map involves raster images that have been stitched together into a larger single map, it should be demonstrated that the stitching process does not introduce distortion or map errors that would not correlate properly with a GNSS-based own-ship symbol.

(d) Human machine interface (HMI)

- (1) Interface

The flight crew should be able to unambiguously differentiate the EFB function from avionics functions available in the cockpit, and in particular with the navigation display.

A sufficiently legible text label 'AIRCRAFT POSITION NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION' or equivalent should be continuously displayed by the application if the own-ship position depiction is visible in the current display area over a terminal chart (i.e. SID, STAR, or instrument approach) or a depiction of a terminal procedure.

(2) Display of own-ship symbol

The own-ship symbol should be different from the ones used by certified aircraft systems intended for primary navigation.

If directional data is available, the own-ship symbol may indicate directionality. If direction is not available, the own-ship symbol should not imply directionality.

The colour coding should not be inconsistent with the manufacturer philosophy.

(3) Data displayed

The current map orientation should be clearly, continuously and unambiguously indicated (e.g., Track-up vs North-up).

If the software supports more than one directional orientation for the own-ship symbol (e.g., Track-up vs North-up), the current own-ship symbol orientation should be indicated.

The chart display in track-up mode should not create usability or readability issues. In particular, chart data should not be rotated in a manner that affects readability.

The application zoom levels should be appropriate for the function and content being displayed and in the context of providing supplemental position awareness.

The pilot should be able to obtain information about the operational status of the own-ship function (e.g. active, deactivated, degraded).

During IFR, day-VFR without visual references or night VFR flight, the following parameters' values should not be displayed:

- (i) Track/heading;
- (ii) Estimated time of arrival (ETA);
- (iii) Altitude;
- (iv) Geographical coordinates of the current location of the aircraft; and
- (v) Aircraft speed.

(4) Controls

If a panning and/or range selection function is available, the EFB application should provide a clear and simple method to return to an own-ship-oriented display.

A means to disable the display of the own-ship position should be provided to the flight crew.

(e) Training and procedures

The procedures and training should emphasise the fact that the display of own-ship position on charts or IFW EFB applications should not be used as a primary source of information to fly or navigate the aircraft or as a primary source of weather information.

(1) Procedures:

The following considerations should be addressed in the procedures for the use of charts or IFW EFB application displaying the own-ship position in flight by the flight crew:

- (i) Intended use of the display of own-ship position in flight on charts or IFW EFB applications;
- (ii) Inclusion of the EFB into the regular scan of flight deck systems indications. In particular, systematic cross-check with avionics before being used, whatever the position source; and
- (iii) Actions to be taken in case of identification of a discrepancy between the EFB and avionics.

(2) Training:

Crew members should be trained on the procedures for the use of the application, including the regular cross-check with avionics and the action in case of discrepancy.

19. **A new GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:**

GM1 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL

The items that follow are the typical contents of an EFB policy and procedures manual that can be part of the operations manual. The proposed outline is very extensive. It may be adapted to the specific EFB system and to the size and complexity of the operations in which the operator is involved.

(a) Revision history;

(b) List of effective pages or paragraphs;

(c) Table of contents;

(d) Introduction:

- (1) Glossary of terms and acronyms;
- (2) EFB general philosophy, environment, and dataflow;
- (3) EFB system architecture;
- (4) Limitations of the EFB system;
- (5) Hardware description;
- (6) Operating system description;
- (7) Detailed presentation of the EFB applications;
- (8) EFB application customisation;
- (9) Data management:
 - (i) data administration;
 - (ii) organisation and workflows;

- (iii) data loading;
 - (iv) data revision mechanisms;
 - (v) approval workflow;
 - (vi) data publishing and dispatch;
 - (vii) customisation;
 - (viii) how to manage operator-specific documents;
 - (ix) airport data management;
 - (x) aircraft fleet definition;
- (10) Data authoring:
 - (i) navigation and customisation;
- (e) Hardware and operating system control and configuration:
 - (1) Purpose and scope;
 - (2) Description of the following processes:
 - (i) hardware configuration and part number control;
 - (ii) operating system configuration and control;
 - (iii) accessibility control;
 - (iv) hardware maintenance;
 - (v) operating system updating;
 - (3) Responsibilities and accountability;
 - (4) Records and filing;
 - (5) Documentary references;
- (f) Software application control and configuration:
 - (1) Purpose and scope;
 - (2) Description of the following processes:
 - (i) version control;
 - (ii) software configuration management;
 - (iii) application updating process;
 - (3) Responsibilities and accountability;
 - (4) Records and filing;
 - (5) Documentary references;
- (g) Flight crew:
 - (1) Training;

- (2) Operating procedures (normal, abnormal, and emergency);
- (h) Maintenance considerations;
- (i) EFB security policy:
 - (1) Security solutions and procedures.

(j) **A new GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:**

GM2 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval
FLIGHT CREW TRAINING

The following might be a typical training syllabus, provided that it does not contradict the operational suitability data established in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 748/2012².

- (a) Ground-based training:
 - (1) System architecture overview;
 - (2) Display unit features and use;
 - (3) Limitations of the system;
 - (4) Restrictions on the use of the system:
 - (i) phases of the flight;
 - (ii) alternate procedures (e.g. MEL);
 - (5) Applications as installed;
 - (6) Use of each application;
 - (7) Restrictions on the use of each application:
 - (i) phases of the flight;
 - (ii) alternate procedures (e.g. MEL);
 - (8) Data input;
 - (9) Cross-checking of data inputs and outputs;
 - (10) Use of data outputs;
 - (11) Alternate procedures (e.g. MEL);
- (b) Flight training:
 - (1) Practical use of the display unit;
 - (2) Display unit controls;
 - (3) Data input devices;
 - (4) Selection of applications;

- (5) Practical use of applications;
- (6) Human factors considerations, including CRM;
- (7) Situational awareness;
- (8) Avoidance of fixation;
- (9) Cross-checking of data inputs and outputs;
- (10) Practical integration of EFB procedures into SOPs;
- (11) Actions following the failure of component(s) of the EFB, including cases of battery smoke or fire; and
- (12) Management of conflicting information

21. A new GM3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

GM3 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval
SECURITY

Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non-exhaustive list:

- (a) Individual system firewalls;
- (b) The clustering of systems with similar safety standards into domains;
- (c) Data encryption and authentication;
- (d) Virus scans;
- (e) Keeping the OS up to date;
- (f) Initiating air–ground connections only when required and always from the aircraft;
- (g) ‘Whitelists’ for allowed internet domains;
- (h) Virtual private networks (VPNs);
- (i) Granting of access rights on a need-to-have basis;
- (j) Troubleshooting procedures that consider security threats as potential root causes of EFB misbehaviour, and provide for responses to be developed to prevent future successful attacks when relevant;
- (k) Virtualisation; and
- (l) Forensic tools and procedures.

22. A new GM4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

GM4 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval
IN-FLIGHT WEATHER (IFW) APPLICATIONS

‘Reliable sources’ of data used by IFW applications are the organisations evaluated by the operator as being able to provide an appropriate level of data assurance in terms of accuracy and integrity. It is recommended that the following aspects be considered during that evaluation:

- (a) The organisation should have a quality assurance system in place that covers the data source selection, acquisition/import, processing, validity period check, and the distribution phase;
- (b) Any meteorological product provided by the organisation that is within the scope of the meteorological information included in the flight documentation as defined in MET.TR.215(e) (Annex V (Definitions of terms used in Annexes II to XIII) to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1377³) should originate only from authoritative sources or certified providers and should not be transformed or altered, except for the purpose of packaging the data in the correct format. The organisation's process should provide assurance that the integrity of those products is preserved in the data for use by the IFW application.

23. A new GM5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

GM5 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

USE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF (COTS) POSITION SOURCE – PRACTICAL EVALUATION

The tests should consist of a statistically relevant sample of taxiing. It is recommended to include taxiing at airports that are representative of the more complex airports typically accessed by the operator. Taxiing segment samples should include data that is derived from runways and taxiways, and should include numerous turns, in particular of 90 degrees or more, and segments in straight lines at the maximum speed at which the own-ship symbol is displayed. Taxiing segment samples should include parts in areas of high buildings such as terminals. The analysis should include at least 25 inbound and/or outbound taxiing segments between the parking location and the runway.

During the tests, any unusual events (such as observing the own-ship symbol in a location on the map that is notably offset compared to the actual position, the own-ship symbol changing to non-directional when the aircraft is moving, and times when the own-ship symbol disappears from the map display) should be noted. For the test, the pilot should be instructed to diligently taxi on the centre line.

24. A new GM6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) is added:

GM6 SPA.EFB.100(b)(3) Use of electronic flight bags (EFBs) — Operational approval

APPLICATIONS DISPLAYING OWN-SHIP POSITION IN FLIGHT

The depiction of a circle around the EFB own-ship symbol may be used to differentiate it from the avionics one.

